

Refined curve counting and tropical geometry

Lothar Göttsche,

Lecture 1: Curve counting and refined curve counting

Aim: count (singular) curves on algebraic surfaces

What does this mean?

$C \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ projective curve over \mathbb{C}

If C is smooth, $g(C) = \text{genus} = \# \text{handles}$



If C singular,

$g(C) = \text{geometric genus} = \text{genus of normalization.}$



$$g(C) = 0$$

$a(C) > g(C)$ genus of smooth deformation



$$a(C) = 1$$

Simplest singularity = node = transversal self intersection

$$a(C) - g(C) = 1$$



Severi degrees: count nodal curves in \mathbb{P}^2

C plane curve of degree d

$C = Z(F) \subset \mathbb{P}^2$, $F \in \mathbb{C}[x_0, x_1, x_2]$ homog. of degree d

$$\{\text{curves of degree } d \text{ in } \mathbb{P}^2\} = \mathbb{P}^{(d+3)d/2}.$$

A node imposes one condition on curves of degree d
 passing through a gen. point imposes one condition

Severi degree: $n_{d,\delta} = \# \left\{ \delta - \text{nodal, degree } d \text{ curves in } \mathbb{P}^2 \right.$
 $\left. \text{through } (d+3)d/2 - \delta \text{ gen. points} \right\}$

(same as number of curves of genus $\binom{d-1}{2} - \delta$)

$n_{d,0} = 1$, $n_{d,1} = 3(d-1)^2$ (Steiner 1848).

General surface: S proj. alg. surface, L line bundle on S

$$|L| = \{ C = Z(s) \mid s \text{ section of } L \} = \mathbb{P}^{h^0(L)-1}$$

$\mathbb{P}^\delta \subset |L|$ general δ -dimensional linear subspace

Severi degree: $n_{(S,L),\delta} := \#\{\delta\text{-nodal curves in } \mathbb{P}^\delta \subset |L|\}$

There are different ways to count curves in varieties

Usually there are several steps:

- 1 Find the correct compact moduli space M , parametrizing the curves and the degenerations one wants to allow.
- 2 One wants to count curves satisfying certain conditions, this will be an intersection number on M .
- 3 Often one needs to use a virtual fundamental class and count "virtual numbers of curves".

(0) Severi degrees: curves are elements of $|\mathcal{O}(d)|$ or $|L|$
 Count curves with given genus through correct number of
 points as points in proj. space $\mathbb{P}^{h^0(L)-1}$

(1) Gromov-Witten invariants: Count maps $f : C \rightarrow X$.

Look at moduli space

$M_g(X, \beta) = \{(C, f) \text{ stable map } f : C \rightarrow X, C \text{ nodal curve of}$
 $\text{genus } g, f_*([C]) = \beta \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})\}$

(2) Pandharipande-Thomas invariants:

These count possibly degenerate curves in $C \subset X$,
 by counting their structure sheaves on $\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C$

P-T moduli space:

$P_n(X, \beta) := \{(F, s) \mid F \text{ pure 1-dimensional sheaf on } X,$
 $s : \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow F \text{ section, } \dim(\text{coker}(s)) = 0, c_2(F) = \beta, \chi(F) = n\}$

Conjectural PT-GW correspondence:

PT and GW invariants conjectured equivalent (generating
 functions related by explicit change of variables)

Why are the Severi degrees interesting?

- (1) Classical old question
- (2) Rel. to other invariants and moduli spaces
(Pandharipande-Thomas-invariants, Gromov-Witten-inv)
- (3) Relation to physics (string theory).

String theory also gives refined invariants.

$n_{(S,L),\delta}$ should be Euler numbers of some moduli space M

The refined invariants something like Betti numbers.

Severi degree: $n_{d,\delta} = \# \left\{ \delta - \text{nodal, degree } d \text{ curves in } \mathbb{P}^2 \right.$
 $\left. \text{through } (d+3)d/2 - \delta \text{ gen. points} \right\}$

$n_{(S,L),\delta} := \# \{ \delta\text{-nodal curves in } \mathbb{P}^\delta \subset |L| \}$

Are there closed formulas for the $n_{(S,L),\delta}$ in terms of the "topological data" L^2 , LK_S , K_S^2 , $c_2(S)$? It is easy to see that this cannot be true without conditions: L must be sufficiently ample with respect to δ . Formulas for $n_{(S,L),\delta}$ as polynomial in

L^2 , LK_S , K_S^2 , $c_2(S)$ computed by Avritzer-Vainsencher for $\delta \leq 6$ and by Kleimann-Piense for larger δ .

Conjecture (G'97)

- 1 *There exists a universal polyn. $n^{(S,L),\delta}$ in $L^2, LK_S, K_S^2, c_2(S)$ computing $n_{(S,L),\delta}$ for L sufficiently ample with respect to δ (more below)*

2

$$\sum_{\delta \geq 0} n^{(S,L),\delta} t^\delta = A_1^{L^2} A_2^{LK_S} A_3^{K_S^2} A_4^{\chi(\mathcal{O}_S)}.$$

*for universal power series $A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4 \in \mathbb{Q}[[t]]$
(in particular $\sum_{\delta \geq 0} n_{d,\delta} t^\delta \equiv A_1^{d^2} A_2^{-3d} A_3^9 A_4$ modulo $t^{(2d-2)}$)*

Proven by Tzeng, Kool-Shende-Thomas

KST obtain $n^{(S,L),\delta}$ from generating function of Euler numbers of Hilbert schemes of points on the universal curve $\mathcal{C}/\mathbb{P}^\delta$

This Hilbert scheme is a Pandharipande-Thomas moduli space so $n^{(S,L),\delta}$ is closely related to PT-invariants

Example: 1-nodal plane curves of degree d

$\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ total space ^{d^2} general pencil of curves of degree d in \mathbb{P}^2
contains finite number of 1-nodal curves

Euler number of smooth curve of degree d is $3d - d^2$.

a node increases Euler number by 1. Thus

$$e(C) = 2(3d - d^2) + n_{d,1}$$



$$e(C) = 0$$



$$e(C) = 1$$

\mathcal{C} is blowup of \mathbb{P}^2 at d^2 intersection points of $Z(F)$ and $Z(G)$

$F(x_0, x_1, x_2)$, $G(x_1, x_2, x_2)$ gen. polynomials of degree d .

Euler number $e(C) = 3 + d^2$. Thus

$$n_{d,1} = 3 + d^2 + 2(d^2 - 3d) = 3(d - 1)^2$$

To make argument work for larger number of nodes
 replace universal curve by relative Hilbert scheme of points
 X projective variety. Hilbert scheme $X^{[n]}$ of n points on X
 parametrizes zero dimensional subschemes of length n on X ,
 i.e. generically sets of n points on X .

On a smooth curve C a subscheme of length n is a set of n
 points counted with multiplicity.

If S is a smooth projective surface, then $S^{[n]}$ is smooth
 projective variety of dimension $2n$.

For any line bundle $L \in \text{Pic}(S)$ have a tautological vector
 bundle $L^{[n]}$ of rank n on $S^{[n]}$ with fibre $L^{[n]}([Z]) = H^0(L|_Z)$.

Sketch of KST proof

Recall $L \in \text{Pic}(S)$ suff. ample (e.g. δ -very ample, sufficient: $\mathcal{C}^{[n]}$ below is smooth),

$\mathbb{P}^\delta \subset |L|$ general linear subspace

$\mathcal{C} := \{(p, [C]) \in S \times \mathbb{P}^\delta \mid p \in C\}$ universal curve
(fibred over \mathbb{P}^δ with fibre C over $[C]$)

$\mathcal{C}^{[n]} := \{([Z], [C]) \in S^{[n]} \times \mathbb{P}^\delta \mid Z \subset C\}$ rel. Hilbert scheme
(fibred over \mathbb{P}^δ with fibre $\mathcal{C}^{[n]}$ over $[C]$)

KST show:

$$\textcircled{1} \quad \exists n_l^C \in \mathbb{Z}, l = 0, \dots, \delta \quad \text{s.th.}$$

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} e(\mathcal{C}^{[n]}) q^n = \sum_{l=0}^{\delta} n_l^C t^l (1-t)^{2g(L)-2l-2}.$$

$g(L)$ genus of nonsingular curve in $|L|$

$$e(X) = \sum_{i=0}^{2\dim(X)} (-1)^i \text{rk}(H^i(X, \mathbb{Z})) \text{ topological Euler number}$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad n_{(S,L),\delta} = n_\delta^C.$$

Why does this prove the conjecture?

Assume L is δ -very ample (or just $\mathcal{C}^{[n]}$ is smooth).

$e(\mathcal{C}^{[n]})$ is tautological intersection number on $S^{[n]}$:

$L^{[n]}$ tautological vector bundle on $S^{[n]}$, $L^{[n]}([Z]) = H^0(L|_Z)$.

Let H pullback of $\mathcal{O}(1)$ from \mathbb{P}^δ .

$L^{[n]} \boxtimes H$ has section s with zero set $Z(s) = \mathcal{C}^{[n]} \subset S^{[n]} \times \mathbb{P}^\delta$

This allows to compute $e(\mathcal{C}^{[n]})$ as intersection number on $S^{[n]}$:

$$e(\mathcal{C}^{[n]}) = \int_{S^{[n]} \times \mathbb{P}^\delta} \frac{c(T_{S^{[n]}})c_n(L^{[n]} \boxtimes H)}{c(L^{[n]} \boxtimes H)}$$

$(c(E) = 1 + c_1(E) + \dots + c_{rk(E)}(E)$ Chern class).

$$e(\mathcal{C}^{[n]}) = \int_{S^{[n]} \times \mathbb{P}^\delta} \frac{c(T_{S^{[n]}})c_n(L^{[n]} \boxtimes H)}{c(L^{[n]} \boxtimes H)}$$

($c(E) = 1 + c_1(E) + \dots + c_{rk(E)}(E)$ Chern class).

Ellingsrud-G-Lehn: such "tautological" integrals are always given by universal polynomials in $L^2, LK_S, K_S^2, c_2(S)$. By

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} e(\mathcal{C}^{[n]}) q^n = \sum_{l=0}^{\delta} n_l^c t^l (1-t)^{2g(L)-2l-2},$$

this gives for L sufficiently ample the number n_δ^c is a universal polynomial in $L^2, LK_S, K_S^2, c_2(S)$. Denote this polynomial by $n^{(S,L),\delta}$, for all (S, L) , then $n^{(S,L),\delta} = n_{(S,L),\delta}$ for L sufficiently ample.

Give refinement, replacing Euler number by χ_y -genus

$S^{[n]}$ = Hilbert scheme of points on S

$\mathcal{C} = \{(p, [C]) | p \in C\} \subset S \times \mathbb{P}^\delta$ universal curve

$\mathcal{C}^{[n]} = \{([Z], [C]) | Z \subset C\} \subset S^{[n]} \times \mathbb{P}^\delta$ relative Hilbert scheme

χ_y -genus $\chi_y(X) = \sum_{p,q} (-1)^{p+q} h^{p,q}(X) y^q$

Write

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \chi_y(\mathcal{C}^{[n]}) t^n = \sum_{l \geq 0} N_l^{\mathcal{C}}(y) t^l ((1-t)(1-yt))^{g(L)-l-1}$$

$g(L)$ = genus of smooth curve in $|L|$

By similar argument to above $N_\delta^{\mathcal{C}}(y)$ is universal polynomial in $L^2, LK_S, K_S^2, c_2(S)$ if L is δ -very ample.

Refined invariants: $N^{(S,L),\delta}(y)$ polynomial in $L^2, LK_S, K_S^2, c_2(S)$

s.th. $N^{(S,L),\delta}(y) := N_\delta^{\mathcal{C}}(y)/y^\delta$ for L sufficiently ample.

Replaced Euler number by χ - y -genus (combin. of Hodge numbers) obtain refined invariants $N^{(S,L),\delta}(y) \in \mathbb{Z}[y, y^{-1}]$, with $N^{(S,L),\delta}(1) = n^{(S,L),\delta}$

Denote them $N^{d,\delta}(y)$ in the case of \mathbb{P}^2

Conjecture

$$\sum_{\delta \geq 0} N^{(S,L),\delta}(y) t^\delta = A_1^{L^2} A_2^{LK_S} A_3^{K_S^2} A_4^{\chi(\mathcal{O}_S)}.$$

for universal power series $A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4 \in \mathbb{Q}[y^{\pm 1}][[t]]$
 A_1 and A_4 are expressed in terms of modular forms.

Generating function for refined invariants $N^{(S,L),\delta}(y)$. $D := q \frac{q}{dq}$

$$\Delta(y, q) = q \prod_{n \geq 1} (1 - q^n)^{20} (1 - yq^n)^2 (1 - y^{-1}q^n)^2,$$

$$\widetilde{DG}_2(y, q) = \sum_{n \geq 1} q^n \left(\sum_{d|n} \frac{n}{d} \frac{(y^{d/2} - y^{-d/2})^2}{(y^{1/2} - y^{-1/2})^2} \right)$$

$$B_1(y, q) = 1 - q - (y + 3 + y^{-1})q^2 + \dots,$$

$$B_2(y, q) = 1 + (y + 3 + y^{-1})q + (y^2 + y^{-2})q^2 + \dots$$

Conjecture

$$\sum_{\delta} \overline{N}^{(S,L),\delta}(y) (\widetilde{DG}_2(y, q))^{\delta} = \frac{(\widetilde{DG}_2(y, q)/q)^{\chi(L)} B_1(y, q)^{K_x^2} B_2(y, q)^{LK_x}}{(\Delta(y, q) D\widetilde{DG}_2(y, q)/q^2)^{\chi(\mathcal{O}_X)/2}}$$

Putting $y = 1$ recovers the old conjecture

Reformulation without variable change: $D := q \frac{q}{dq}$

$$\Delta(y, q) = q \prod_{n \geq 1} (1 - q^n)^{20} (1 - yq^n)^2 (1 - y^{-1}q^n)^2,$$

$$\widetilde{DG}_2(y, q) = \sum_{n \geq 1} q^n \left(\sum_{d|n} \frac{n}{d} \frac{(y^{d/2} - y^{-d/2})^2}{(y^{1/2} - y^{-1/2})^2} \right)$$

$$B_1(y, q) = 1 - q - (y + 3 + y^{-1})q^2 + \dots,$$

$$B_2(y, q) = 1 + (y + 3 + y^{-1})q + (y^2 + y^{-2})q^2 + \dots$$

$r := \chi(L) - 1 - \delta$ number of point conditions for δ -nodal curves in $|L|$
(i.e. count δ -nodal curves through r gen. points on S). Then

$$N^{(S,L),\delta}(y) = \text{Coeff}_{q^{(L^2 - LK_S)/2}} \left[\widetilde{DG}_2(y, q)^r \frac{D \widetilde{DG}_2(y, q) B_1(y, q)^{K_X^2} B_2(y, q)^{LK_X}}{(\Delta(y, q) D \widetilde{DG}_2(y, q))^{\chi(\mathcal{O}_X)/2}} \right]$$

Residue formula :

$$f(q) = \sum_{\ell \geq 0} g(q)^\ell \text{coeff}_{q^0} \left(\frac{f(q) Dg(q)}{g(q)^{\ell+1}} \right)$$

Theorem

The conjecture is true in the following cases:

- 1 *For $y = 1$ (this is the old conjecture which was proved).*
- 2 *S is an abelian or a K3 surface*
- 3 *modulo q^{11} for all surfaces (i.e. for up to 11 nodes)*

Check of Conjecture: $\chi_{-y}(\mathcal{C}^{[n]})$ computed by very similar integral on $S^{[n]}$ as $e(\mathcal{C}^{[n]})$.

EGL: coeff. of $\chi_{-y}(\mathcal{C}^{[n]})$ are univ. polyn. in $L^2, LK_S, K_S^2, c_2(S)$.

\implies determined by values for

$(S, L) = (\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}), (\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}(1)), (\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}(-1)), (\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O})$.

These are toric surface: action of $T = \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^*$ on S with finitely many fixpoints. Action lifts to $S^{[n]}$ with finitely many fixpoints

p_1, \dots, p_e .

Bott Residue formula: Integral for $\chi_{-y}(\mathcal{C}^{[n]})$ on $S^{[n]}$ can be computed in terms of the weights of action of T on the fibres $T_{S^{[n]}}(p_i), L^{[n]}(p_i)$. Programmed on computer:

Result: Conjecture is true modulo q^{11} .

Case of a K3 surface: Let S K3-surface, e.g. quartic in \mathbb{P}^3 ,

L primitive line bundle on S

Write $M_{L^2/2,k}^S(y) := N^{(S,L),g(L)-k}(y)$ (genus k curves in $|L|$ through k points).

Conjecture says:
$$\sum_{d \geq 0} M_{d,k}^S(y) q^d = \frac{\widetilde{DG}_2(y, q)^k}{\Delta(y, q)}$$

Case of an abelian surface Let A abelian surface,

L primitive line bundle on A Write

$M_{L^2/2,k}^A(y) := N^{(A,L),g(L)-k-2}(y)$ (genus $k+2$ curves in $|L|$ through k points)

Conjecture says:
$$\sum_{d \geq 0} M_{d,k}^A(y) q^d = \widetilde{DG}_2(y, q)^k D \widetilde{DG}_2(y, q)$$

By the multiplicativity of the generating functions, it is enough to show these for $k = 0$. In this case the relative Hilbert scheme is isomorphic to a moduli space of pairs, parametrising sheaves of dimension 0 with one section. In the case of K3 surfaces this was studied by Kawai and Yoshioka, giving the formula. A similar, a bit more complicated argument gives the result for abelian surfaces.

Know: $N^{(S,L),\delta}(1) = n_{(S,L),\delta}$ for L sufficiently ample

What is the meaning at other values of y ?

What do the refined invariants count?

The claim is that for toric surfaces this has to do with real algebraic geometry and tropical geometry