§1 Introduction

In 1953 A. Weil [We1] proved that, given a curve of genus 1 by an equation

\[ y^2 = f(x) , \]

with \( f \) a polynomial of degree 4, one may compute a Weierstrass model for its Jacobian by means of the invariant theory of the quartic \( f \). (He based his discussion on a paper by Hermite but later even traced the theory to Euler [We2].) His main motivation was his attempt to prove that if a curve \( C \) is defined over a field \( K \), the Jacobian of \( C \) can also be defined over \( K \), and he found that the paper of Hermite contained “most of the formulas needed for treating one fairly typical special case”.

In the notes to [We1] in his collected papers, Weil remarks that he has also examined the case of plane cubics from the same point of view, and that this case offers no difficulty if one consults Chapter V of Salmon’s classic book [Sa]. On p.203 of that book one finds an identity showing that the covariants \( \Theta \), \( J \) and \( H \) and invariants \( S \) and \( T \) of a ternary cubic \( U \) satisfy, on the curve \( U = 0 \), the equation

\[ J^2 = 4\Theta^3 + 108SH^4 - 27TH^6 , \]

a relation which can be used to show that the elliptic curve \( y^2 = 4x^3 + 108Sx - 27T \) is the Jacobian of the plane cubic \( U = 0 \). Here \( S \) and \( T \) are the classical invariants of degree 4 and 6 of ternary cubic forms discovered by Aronholdt [Ar] in 1849.

These ideas are discussed in detail and the two cases of quartics and cubics presented together, over an arbitrary ground field of characteristic \( \neq 2, 3 \), in [AKM3P].

In characteristics 2 or 3 the classical invariants \( S \) and \( T \) don’t suffice because one needs the more general equation

\[ y^2 + a_1xy + a_3y = x^3 + a_2x^2 + a_4x + a_6 \]

(1.2)

to describe the Jacobian. In this paper we will refer to an equation of the form (1.2) as a Weierstrass equation, and will use the standard notations \( b_2, b_4, b_6, b_8, c_4, c_6, \) and \( \Delta \) ([Ta], [Si])
for the primitive integral polynomials in $a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_6$ associated to such an equation which are defined by the following identities, in which $y_1 := y + \frac{a_1 x + a_3}{2}$ and $x_1 := x + \frac{b_3}{12}$

\[
\begin{align*}
\{ y_1^2 &= x^3 + \frac{b_2}{4} x^2 + \frac{b_4}{2} x + \frac{b_6}{4} = x_1^3 - \frac{c_4}{48} x_1 - \frac{c_6}{864} \\
\delta &= \frac{b_2 b_6 - b_4^2}{4}, \quad \Delta = \frac{c_4^2 - c_6^2}{1728} \in \mathbb{Z}[b_2, b_4, b_6, b_8].
\end{align*}
\]

We associate to a ternary cubic

\[(1.4) \; f = f(x, y, z) = Ax^3 + By^3 + Cz^3 + Px^2y + Qy^2z + Rx^2z + Txy^2 + Uyz^2 + Vz x^2 + Mxyz\]

a. Weierstrass cubic

\[(1.5) \; f^* = f^*(x, y, z) = y^2z + a_1 xyz + a_3yz^2 - x^3 - a_2x^2z - a_4x^2z - a_6z^3\]

whose coefficients $a_i = a_i(f)$ are homogeneous polynomials in the ten coefficients of $f$ defined as follows.

\[
\begin{align*}
a_1 &= M, \\
a_2 &= -(PU + QV + RT), \\
a_3 &= 9ABC - (AQU + BRV + CPT) - (TUV + PQR), \\
a_4 &= (ARQ^2 + BPR^2 + CQP^2 + ATU^2 + BUV^2 + CVT^2) \\
&\quad + (PQUV + QRVT + RPTU) - 3(ABRU + BCPT + CAQT), \\
&\quad + 3ABC(TUV + PQR) - (ABQRUV + BCRVPT + CAPQTV) \\
&\quad - (A^2 CQ^3 + B^2 AR^3 + C^2 BP^3 + A^2 BU^3 + B^2 CV^3 + C^2 AT^3) - PQRTUV \\
&\quad + 2(ACQ^2 TV + BAR^2 UT + CBP^2 VU + ACQRT^2 + BARPU^2 + CBPQV^2) \\
&\quad - (AQTUV^2 + BRUTV^2 + CPVUT^2 + APQ^2 RU + BQR^2 PV + CRP^2 QT) \\
&\quad - (AQR^2 T^2 + BR^2 P^2 U + CP^2 Q^2 V + ART^2 U^2 + BRPU^2 V^2 + CQV^2 T^2) \\
&\quad + M(ABU^2 V + BCV^2 T + CAT^2 U + ABR^2 Q + BCP^2 R + CAQ^2 P) \\
&\quad + M(AQRTU + BRPUV + CPQVT - 3ABC(QV + RT + PU)) \\
&\quad - M^2(ABRU + BCPT + CAQT) + M^3 ABC
\end{align*}
\]

It is easy to check by computer that $(f^*)^* = f^*$, that is, $a_i(f^*) = a_i(f)$. Hence we can define $b_i(f) := b_i(f^*)$, $c_i(f) := c_i(f^*)$ and $\Delta(f) := \Delta(f^*)$ without ambiguity. Another easy check shows then that $c_4$ and $c_6$ are related to the classical invariants of ternary cubics [Sa] by

\[(1.7) \; c_4 = -2^4 3^4 S, \quad \text{and} \quad c_6 = 2^3 3^6 T^1\]
It follows from (1.3) that over a field of characteristic different from 2 and 3, the cubic \( f^* = 0 \) is isomorphic to the cubic \( y^2 = x^3 - \frac{c_4}{48} x - \frac{c_6}{3648} \) and therefore, by [AKM3P], that the Jacobian of a smooth plane cubic \( f = 0 \) is the elliptic curve \( f^* = 0 \). We show that this is true in all characteristics. But more is true. Our main result, Theorem 1 below, is that the same holds for an arbitrary family \( X \) of plane cubics, over an arbitrary base scheme \( S \), if we interpret the Jacobian of \( X/S \) as the relative Picard scheme \( \text{Pic}^0_{X/S} \) ([BLR], Chs. 8,9). Moreover \( X/S \) need not be smooth — its fibers can be arbitrary plane cubic divisors, even triple lines.

On the website of one of us (http://www.ma.utexas.edu/users/villegas/cnt), there are PARI-GP routines for the functions \( f \mapsto f^*, b_i(f), c_i(f) \) and \( \Delta(f) \). There are also the analogous functions for the cases in which the curve of genus 1 is described by the affine equations

\[
t_0 y^2 + (s_0 x^2 + s_1 x + s_2) y + r_0 x^4 + r_1 x^3 + r_2 x^2 + r_3 x + r_4 = 0,
\]

or by

\[
(t_0 x^2 + t_1 x + t_2) y^2 + (r_0 x^2 + r_1 x + r_2) y + s_0 x^2 + s_1 x + s_2 = 0.
\]

There is also, in the cubic case which we are treating in detail in this paper, a formula valid in all characteristics for the degree 9 map from the cubic curve \( f = 0 \) to its Jacobian \( f^* = 0 \) which takes a point \( p \) on the cubic curve to the class of the divisor \( 3[p] - H \) of degree 0, where \([p] \) is the divisor of degree 1 determined by \( p \) and \( H \) is the intersection divisor of the cubic with a line. This is the map which in characteristics not 2 or 3 is given by the covariants and used in [AKM3P] to prove that (1.1) gives the Jacobian of \( U = 0 \). The formula for it occupies about 1.6 megabytes.

Before stating Theorem 1 we introduce the notion of a Weierstrass group scheme. Let \( S \) be a scheme. Suppose \( a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_6 \) are sections of \( \mathcal{O}_S \). The homogenization of the equation (1.2) defines a closed subscheme \( W \subset \mathbb{P}^2_S := \text{Proj}(\mathcal{O}_S[x, y, z]) \) which we call a Weierstrass curve over \( S \). In [De] there is a detailed study of \( S \)-schemes isomorphic locally on \( S \) to such a \( W/S \), and a characterization of them by intrinsic properties. Let \( J \) be the open subscheme of \( W \) where the map \( W \to S \) is smooth. As explained in [De, §7], \( J \) has a natural structure of commutative group scheme over \( S \). We call \( J \) a Weierstrass group scheme. The identity section \( e : S \to J \) is the constant function \( s \mapsto (0, 1, 0) \in \mathbb{P}^2 \). The group law can be characterized by the fact that the map which associates to a section \( u : S \to J \subset W \) the invertible sheaf \( \mathcal{O}_W([u] - [e]) \) is an isomorphism of \( J(S) \) with the kernel of the homomorphism \( e^* : \text{Pic}(W) \to \text{Pic}(S) \), and this is true after an arbitrary base change \( S' \to S \). Therefore \( J \) represents the functor \( \text{Pic}^0_{W/S} \) in the same way that an elliptic curve is isomorphic to its own Jacobian.

An equation (1.2) for \( J/S \) determines functions \( \Delta \) and \( c_4 \) on \( S \) whose vanishing or nonvanishing determines the nature of the fibers of \( J \). At a geometric point \( s \) of \( S \), the fiber \( J_s \) is an

---

[1] This \( T \) denotes the classical invariant of degree 6, as it did before (1.5). In the rest of this paper, \( T \) will denote the coefficient of \( xy^2 \) in \( f \), as in (1.5). The reason for the factors \( 2^a 3^b \) in (1.7) is that the classical invariant theorists multiplied monomials by the corresponding multinomial coefficients. For instance, they would write the terms \( Ax^3, Px^2y, Mxyz \) in (1.4) as \( Ax^3, 3Px^2y, 6Mxyz \). Hence, \( c_4(A, \ldots, P, \ldots, M) = \pm S(A, \ldots, 3P, \ldots, 6M) \).
elliptic curve if $\Delta(s) \neq 0$, is isomorphic to $\mathbb{G}_m$ if $\Delta(s) = 0$ and $c_4(s) \neq 0$, and is isomorphic to $\mathbb{G}_a$ if $\Delta(s) = c_4(s) = 0$.

**Theorem 1.** Let $S$ be a scheme. Suppose the ten coefficients $A, B, \ldots, M$ of the ternary cubic $f$ (1.4) are sections of $\mathcal{O}_S$ with no common zero. Let $X$ be the subscheme of $\mathbb{P}^2_S$ defined by the equation $f = 0$. Let $W$ be the subscheme of $\mathbb{P}^2_S$ defined by the Weierstrass cubic $f^* = 0$ (1.5), and let $J$ be the smooth locus of $W/S$. Then $\text{Pic}^0_{X/S}$ is represented by the Weierstrass group scheme $J$.

To prove Theorem 1 we use

**Theorem 2.** Let $S$ be a regular irreducible scheme. Suppose $G$ is an algebraic space separated and smooth over $S$, which is a commutative group over $S$ such that for each $s \in S$ the fiber $G_s$ is connected of dimension 1 and the generic fiber $G_\eta$ is an elliptic curve. Then

(i) Each point $s \in S$ has a neighborhood $U$ in $S$ such that $G_U$ is a Weierstrass group scheme over $U$.

(ii) If $\text{Pic}(S) = 0$ and $H^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S) = 0$, $G$ is a Weierstrass group scheme over $S$.

We prove Theorem 2 in §2. In §3 we apply it to prove Theorem 1. Since the formation of $\text{Pic}^0_{X/S}$ commutes with arbitrary base extension, it suffices to prove Theorem 1 for the generic ternary cubic $f$. We establish properties of the generic family of ternary cubics $X/S$ which allow us to show for that family that the functor $\text{Pic}^0_{X/S}$ satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 2 (ii) and is therefore a Weierstrass group scheme. This shows that there must exist five polynomials with integer coefficients in the ten coefficients of $f$, as in (1.6), such that Theorem 1 is true for the $f^*$ they define. To show that the polynomials (1.6) accomplish this, we show that any five such polynomials which give an $f^*$ whose invariants $c_4, c_6, \Delta$ are the same as those of $f$ will do the job. To do this we use

**Theorem 3.** Suppose $G$ and $G'$ are two Weierstrass group schemes over a normal irreducible Noetherian base scheme $S$. Suppose that the generic fibers $G_\eta$ and $G'_\eta$ are elliptic curves, and that for $s$ of codimension 1 in $S$, the fibers $G_s$ and $G'_s$ are semiabelian, that is, are either elliptic curves or forms of $\mathbb{G}_m$.

(a) If the generic fibers $G_\eta$ and $G'_\eta$ are isomorphic, then $G$ and $G'$ are isomorphic.

(b) If the generic fibers $G_\eta$ and $G'_\eta$ have the same $j$-invariant different from 0 and 1728, but are not isomorphic, then there is a separable quadratic field extension $L$ of the function field $K$ of $S$ such that the normalization $T$ of $S$ in $L$ is unramified in codimension 1 on $S$ and such that $G \times_S T$ is isomorphic to $G' \times_S T$.

**Proof.** We first prove (a), then use (a) to prove (b). Let $x, y$ be Weierstrass coordinates on $G$ and $x', y'$ on $G'$. We can identify the generic fibers $G_\eta$ and $G'_\eta$. Then there are rational functions $u, r, s, t$ on $S$ so that

\begin{equation}
(1.8) \quad x = u^2x' + r \quad y = u^3y' + su^2x' + t.
\end{equation}
In obvious notation we have then

\[(1.9) \quad u^4 c_4' = c_4 \quad u^{12} \Delta' = \Delta.\]

By our hypotheses we know that at a point \(s\) of codimension 1, not both \(c_4'\) and \(\Delta'\) are zero. Hence, by (1.9), \(u\) is in \(\mathcal{O}_s\). By symmetry, \(u^{-1}\) is in \(\mathcal{O}_s\). A standard argument ([De], proof of Prop. 5.3 or [Si], VII, 1.3) shows then that \(r, s, t\) are integral over \(\mathcal{O}_s\), hence are in \(\mathcal{O}_s\). Since \(s\) was an arbitrary point of codimension 1 in the normal scheme \(S\), the functions \(u, u^{-1}, r, s, t\) are defined everywhere on \(S\) and (1.8) defines an isomorphism \(G \approx G'\) over all of \(S\). This concludes the proof of (a).

(b) In this case the only automorphisms of \(G_\eta\) are \(p \mapsto \pm p\), and there is a separable quadratic extension field \(L\) of \(K\) and an isomorphism \(\phi : G'_L \to G_L\). This follows from [De] Prop. 5.3 (III), and can also be proved by an easy computation using the special Weierstrass forms in [Si], App.A, Prop. 1.1. Let \(T\) be the normalisation of \(S\) in \(L\). By (a), applied over \(T\), we know \(\phi\) extends to an isomorphism \(G' \times_S T \to G \times_S T\). We must show that \(T/S\) is unramified at each point \(s\) of codimension 1 in \(S\). Let \(u, r, s, t\) be elements of \(L\) describing \(\phi\) as in (1.8), Let \(\ast\) denote conjugation in \(L/K\). Since \(G_K\) is not isomorphic to \(G'_K\), \(\phi\) is a different isomorphism from \(\phi\) and is therefore equal to \(-\phi\). If \(a_i\) are Weierstrass coefficients for \(G\), the automorphism \(p \mapsto -p\) on \(G\) is given by \((x, y) \mapsto (x, -y - a_1 x - a_3)\). Using this a simple computation shows

\[
\begin{align*}
u^* &= -u, \quad r^* = r, \quad s^* = -s - a_1, \quad t^* = -t - a_1 r - a_3
\end{align*}
\]

Let \(s\) be a point of codimension 1 in \(S\). We claim that the fiber \(T_s\) is the spectrum of a separable quadratic extension of \(k(s)\). This follows from the first of the three displayed equations if 2 is invertible in \(\mathcal{O}_S\), and from one of the other two equations is \(k(s)\) is of characteristic 2, because in that case \(a_1\) and \(a_3\) do not both vanish at \(s\) since \(G_s\) is not the additive group.

An immediate consequence of a theorem of Raynaud ([Ra], Cor.IX, 1.5) together with a result on the uniqueness of Néron models ([BLR], §7.4, Prop.3) is a more general version of Theorem 3 (a). With the same assumptions on the base scheme \(S\), the statement (a) is true for group schemes \(G\) and \(G'\) whose fibers are smooth and connected, are semi-abelian in codimension 1, and abelian at the generic point. This gives a proof of Theorem 1 in which the only need for Theorem 2 is to know that \(\text{Pic}^0_X/S\) is represented by a scheme. If Raynaud’s theorem is true for algebraic space groups, this argument together with the result of [AKM3P] would give a proof of Theorem 1 independent of our Theorems 2 and 3.

How unique are our polynomials (1.6)? Not at all unique, for we can change coordinates as in (1.8) with \(u = \pm 1\), and arbitrary \(r, s, t\in \mathbb{Z}[A, \ldots, M]\). This would change \(a_i\) to \(a'_i\) by the well known formulas

\[
\pm a'_1 = a_1 + 2s, \quad a'_2 = a_2 - sa_1 + 3r - s^2, \quad \pm a_3 = a_3 + ra_1 + 2t, \quad \text{etc.}
\]

and we would also have \(b'_2 = b_2 + 12r\), etc.
In particular, $b_2$ can be changed arbitrarily modulo 12 and $a_1$ and $a_3$ arbitrarily modulo 2. However, $c_4, c_6$ and $\Delta$ are unchanged. To find polynomials like those in (1.6), knowing $c_4$ and $c_6$ from (1.7), one can use the expressions for the $c$’s as functions of the $b$’s to guess suitable $b$’s, then the expressions for the $b$’s as functions of the $a$’s to guess suitable $a$’s. One first solves the congruences

$$b_2^2 \equiv c_4 \mod 24, \quad b_2^3 \equiv c_6 \mod 36,$$

which determine $b_2$ modulo 12. A choice of solution $b_2$ then determines $b_4, b_6$ and $b_8$ uniquely. With these $b$’s, the congruences

$$a_1^2 \equiv b_2 \mod 4, \quad a_3^2 \equiv b_6 \mod 4,$$

determine $a_1$ and $a_3$ modulo 2. A choice of solutions $a_1$ and $a_3$ then determines $a_2, a_4$ and $a_6$.

We found the polynomials (1.6) by using simple and symmetric solutions $b_2, a_1$ and $a_3$ to those congruences.

**Theorem 4.** Our polynomials (1.6) are uniquely determined by the properties:

(i) $c_4(f^*)$ and $c_6(f^*)$ are the classical invariants of ternary cubics, normalized so that for $f(x,y,z)=xyz$, $c_4(f)=1$ and $c_6(f)=-1$.

(ii) If $Z \in GL_3$ is a diagonal matrix or a permutation matrix, then $a_i(Zf) = (\det Z)^i a_i(f)$, where $(Zf)(x,y,z) := f((x,y,z)Z)$. (In particular, $a_i$ is homogeneous of degree $i$.)

(iii) $(f^*)^* = f^*$.

(iv) $a_4(f)$ is independent of the coefficient $M$ of $xyz$ in $f$.

**Proof.** Left to the reader. Properties (i), (ii) and (iii) determine $a_1(f)$ and $a_2(f)$ uniquely. The reason the inelegant condition (iv) is needed is that there are polynomials $d_3 = mABC + n(TUV + PQR)$ for $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ which satisfy the same partial invariance (ii) as $a_3$ and such that $d_3(f) = 0$ if $f$ is a Weierstrass cubic. Then the five polynomials

$$a_1, \quad a_2, \quad a_3 + 2d_3, \quad a_4 - Md_3, \quad a_6 - a_3d_3 - d_3^2$$

satisfy conditions (i),(ii) and (iii), but not (iv) unless $d_3 = 0$.

§2 Proof of Theorem 2

We begin with three general lemmas. Although they are presumably well known, at least for schemes, we include proofs.

**Lemma 2.1.** Suppose $f : X \to Y$ is a continuous map of topological spaces. The following are equivalent:

(a) The map $f$ is open.

(b) Taking inverse images commutes with closure, that is, $f^{-1}(\overline{T}) = \overline{f^{-1}(T)}$, for each subset $T \subset Y$.

This is an easy exercise. There is a proof in [Ku], §XIV.
Lemma 2.2. Let $f : X \to Y$ be a flat, finite type map of Noetherian algebraic spaces. Suppose $Y$ irreducible, with generic point $\eta$. Let $X_{\eta}$ denote the fibre of $X$ over $\eta$.

(a) If $X_{\eta}$ is irreducible, with generic point $\xi$, then $X$ is irreducible, and $\xi$ is the generic point of $X$.

(b) If $Y$ and $X_{\eta}$ are reduced, then $X$ is reduced.

Proof. (a) A flat finite type map of noetherian schemes is open (This follows from [Ha], Ch.III, Ex.9.1, because flatness and openness are local properties for the etale topology.) Lemma 2.1 with $T = \{\eta\}$ shows that $X$ is the closure of $X_{\eta}$, and hence of $\{\xi\}$.

(b) Suppose $Y$ and $X_{\eta}$ are reduced. Let $x \in X$, put $y = f(x)$, and let $X_y$ denote the fibre of $X$ over $y$. Then $\mathcal{O}_{X_y,x} \cong \mathcal{O}_{X,x} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y,y} k(\eta)$. Consider the restriction maps

$$\mathcal{O}_{X,x} \to \mathcal{O}_{X_y,x} \to k(\xi).$$

The first map is injective by flatness because, since $Y$ is reduced, the map $\mathcal{O}_{Y,y} \to k(\eta)$ is injective. The second map is injective because $X_y$ is reduced. Thus $\mathcal{O}_{X,x} \to k(\xi)$ is injective. Since this is true for for every $x \in X$, $X$ is reduced as claimed.

Lemma 2.3. Let $f : X \to Y$ be a flat, finite type map of Noetherian algebraic spaces. Suppose $Y$ reduced and irreducible, with generic point $\eta$. Suppose also that the fibres $X_y$ over points $y \in Y$ of codimension at most 1 are reduced and irreducible. Let $D$ be a reduced irreducible subspace of $X$ of codimension 1 such that $\eta / \notin f(D)$. Let $\Delta$ denote the closure of $f(D)$ with its induced reduced structure. Then $\Delta$ is a closed irreducible algebraic space of codimension 1 in $Y$ and $D = X \times_Y \Delta$.

Proof. Let $x$ be the generic point of $D$ and $y = f(x)$. Since $\eta / \notin f(D)$, $\dim_y(Y) \geq 1$, and $\dim_x(X) = 1$. On the other hand $\dim_x(X) = \dim_x(X_y) + \dim_y(Y)$. (See [Ha], Ch.III, Prop. 9.5. The dimensions are local for the etale topology.) Hence $\dim_x(X_y) = 0$ and $\dim_y(Y) = 1$, i.e., $y$ has codimension 1 in $X$. By hypothesis, $X_y$ is reduced and irreducible, $x$ is its generic point, and $D = \{x\} = \overline{X}_y$. By Lemma 2.1, $D = f^{-1}(\{y\})$. Hence $f(D) \subset \{y\}$ and $\Delta = \{y\}$ is irreducible. Lemma 2.2, applied to the map $X \times_Y \Delta \to \Delta$, shows that $X \times_Y \Delta$ is reduced and irreducible, hence is equal to $D$.

We now begin the proof of Theorem 2. The group $G$, an algebraic space over $S$, is as in the hypotheses of that theorem. By Lemma 2.2, $G$ is reduced and irreducible, and $G$ is regular because $S$ is regular and $G/S$ smooth. Hence every Weil divisor on $G$ is a Cartier divisor. We will just write divisor from now on. We call a divisor vertical if its support does not meet the generic fiber $G_{\eta}$.

Lemma 2.4. A vertical divisor $D$ on $G$ is a pull-back from the base scheme $S$ and is principal if $\text{Pic}(S) = 0$

Proof. To prove this we can suppose $D$ is irreducible. By Lemma 2.3 $D$ is a pull-back from the base scheme $S$, hence is principal if $\text{Pic}(S) = 0$. 
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A section \( a : S \to G \) is a closed immersion because \( G/S \) is separated. Its image is a divisor, which we denote by \([a]\). We will use the following lemma to construct rational functions on \( G \).

**Lemma 2.5.** Let \( a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_r \) be sections and \( n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_r \) integers such that \( \Sigma_i n_i a_i = 0 \) and \( \Sigma_i n_i = 0 \). Then the divisor \( \Sigma n_i [a_i] \) is principal if \( \text{Pic}(S) = 0 \).

**Proof.** This is true on the generic fiber \( G' \). Hence there is a function \( f \) whose divisor has the form \( \Sigma n_i [a_i] + Z \), with \( Z \) vertical. Lemma 2.4 completes the proof.

Let \( e : S \to G \) be the identity section and \( \pi : G \to S \) the structure morphism. Define sheaves \( V_n \) on \( S \) for \( n \geq 1 \) by \( V_1 = \mathcal{O}_S \) and \( V_n = \pi_* \mathcal{O}_G(n[e]) \) for \( n \geq 2 \). The \( V_n \) are quasicoherent, by [Kn], II, Prop.4.6. We will see in the course of our proof that \( V_n \) is a locally free \( \mathcal{O}_S \)-module of rank \( n \).

**Definition 2.6.** A **basic section of \( V_n \) at \( s \)** is a section \( z \) of \( V_n \) in a neighborhood of \( s \) such that the restriction of \( z \) to the fiber \( X_s \) has a pole of order \( n \) at the identity \( e(s) \). A **basic section of \( V_n \) over \( S \)** is a global section of \( V_n \) which is basic at every point of \( S \), or equivalently, whose divisor on \( X \) has the form \( D - n[e] \), where \( D \) is an effective divisor whose support does not meet \([e]\).

**Lemma 2.7.** Suppose \( S \) is strictly Henselian and \( n \geq 2 \). Then \( V_n \) has a basic section.

**Proof.** The closed fiber of \( G \) has an infinity of rational points because it is smooth over a separably closed field. Each of these points can be lifted to a section of \( G/S \). Hence there are sections \( a, b : S \to G \) such that \( a(s) \neq e(s) \) and \( b(s) \neq e(s), -a(s) \). Since \( S \) is local, neither \([a]\) nor \([b]\) nor \([a + b]\) meets \([e]\). Since \( S \) is regular, \( \text{Pic}(S) = 0 \) and by Lemma 2.5, there exist functions \( x, y \) on \( G \) with divisors

\[
\text{div}(x) = [a] + [-a] - 2[e], \quad \text{div}(y) = [a] + [b] + [-a - b] - 3[e].
\]

Thus \( x \) is a basic section of \( V_2 \) and \( y \) of \( V_3 \). With \( x \) and \( y \) we define a sequence of functions \((z_n)_{n \geq 2}\) such that \( z_n \) is a basic section of \( V_n \) by putting

\[
z_{2i} = x^i, \quad z_{2i+1} = x^{i-1}y, \text{ for } i \geq 1.
\]

**Lemma 2.8.** Let \( s \in S \). A **basic section of \( V_n \) at \( s \)** exists for each \( n \geq 2 \).

**Proof.** Let \( s' \) be a strict Henselization of \( S \) at \( s \) and \( s' \) the closed point of \( S' \). Denote pullback to \( S' \) by a prime. Since direct image commutes with flat base extension, we have \( V'_n := \pi'_* \mathcal{O}'(ne') = V_n \otimes_S \mathcal{O}_{S'} \). We know that there is a basic section \( z'_n \) of \( V'_n \), a section whose restriction to the closed fibre has a pole of order \( n \) at \( e(s') \). Writing \( z'_n = \sum z_i \otimes h_i \) with the \( z_i \) sections of \( V_n \) at \( s \) and the \( h_i \) sections of \( \mathcal{O}_{S'} \) one sees that the restriction to the fibre of at least one \( z_i \) also has a pole of order \( n \) at \( e(s) \), so is a basic section of \( V_n \) at \( s \).
Lemma 2.9. Let $s \in S$. If $z$ is a basic section of $V_n$ at $s$, then it is basic over some Zariski neighborhood of $s$.

Proof. Replacing $S$ by an open neighborhood of $s$ reduces us to the case that $z$ is a global section. Its divisor has the form $D - n[e]$, where $D$ is effective and does not meet $[e]$ at $c(s)$. Then $C := \pi(D \cap [e])$ is a closed subset of $S$ which does not contain $s$. Its complement is the required neighborhood over which $z_n$ is basic.

Lemma 2.10. (a) For each $n \geq 2$, each point $s \in S$ has a Zariski neighborhood over which $V_n$ has a basic section.

(b) Suppose $z_i$ is a basic section of $V_i$ over $S$ for $i = 2, 3, \ldots, n$. Then $V_n$ is a free $\mathcal{O}_S$-module of rank $n$ with basis $1, z_2, \ldots, z_n$.

(c) For all $n$, $V_n$ is locally free of rank $n$.

Proof. (a) This follows from immediately from the last two lemmas.

(b) Let $z_1 = 1$. To show that the map $\mathcal{O}_S^n \to V_n$ defined by $z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_n$ is bijective it is enough to show the map on stalks $\mathcal{O}_s^n \to (V_n)_s$ is bijective for each $s \in S$. Since formation of direct image commutes with localization, it suffices to treat the case in which $S$ is the spectrum of the regular local ring $\mathcal{O}_s$, and since $V_n$ is quasicoherent, it suffices to show that the $\mathcal{O}_s$-module $(V_n)_s$ is free with basis $z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_n$. The restrictions of the functions $z_i$ to the fiber $G_s$ are linearly independent over $k(s)$ because they have poles of different orders at $e(s)$. At the generic point $\eta$ the dimension of $V_n(\eta)$ is $n$, by Riemann-Roch on the elliptic curve $G_\eta$. Hence $z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_n$ span $V_n(\eta)$. Let $z \in (V_n)_s$. There are elements $c, c_1, \ldots, c_n \in \mathcal{O}_s$ such that $c \neq 0$ and

$$cz = c_1z_1 + c_2z_2 + \cdots + c_nz_n,$$

and since $\mathcal{O}_s$ is a unique factorization domain, we can assume $c$ and the $c_i$’s have no common prime divisor. Then $c$ is invertible in $R$ for if not it would be divisible by a prime $p$, contradicting the fact that the restrictions of the $z_i$ to the fiber $G_{(p)}$ are independent. Thus $z_1, \ldots, z_n$ span the stalk $(V_n)_s$.

(c) By (a), the hypothesis of (b) is fulfilled if we replace $S$ by a suitable neighborhood $U$ of $s$.

By Lemma 2.10 (a), the following proposition will complete the proof of Theorem 2.

Proposition 2.11. Suppose $V_2$ and $V_3$ have basic sections over $S$. Then $G/S$ is a Weierstrass group scheme.

Proof. For the rest of this section, we suppose that $V_2$ and $V_3$ have basic sections over $S$, which we denote by $x$ and $y$, respectively. By Lemma 2.10 (b), $V_6$ is a free $\mathcal{O}_S$-module with basis $1, x, y, x^2, xy, x^3$. Since $y^2$ is a section of $V_6$, there are sections $a_i$ of $\mathcal{O}_S$ such that

$$y^2 + a_1xy + a_3y = a_0x^3 + a_1x^2 + a_4x + a_6.$$

Since $y^2$ has a pole of order 6 at $e$ on every fiber, $a_0$ has no zero on $S$, so is invertible. Since $a_0x$, $a_0y$ satisfy a Weierstrass equation with $a_0 = 1$, we can and do assume $a_0 = 1$ from now on.
The three sections $x, y, 1$ of $O(3[e])$ have no common zeros, so they define a map $\phi : G \to \mathbb{P}_S^2$, whose image is in the cubic $W$ defined by the homogenization of the above equation. Let $W^0 \subset W$ be the corresponding Weierstrass group scheme.

**Lemma 2.12.** The map $\phi$ factors through $W^0$. The induced map $\phi : G \to W^0$ is a homomorphism of $S$-group functors.

**Proof.** By construction, $\phi$ factors through $W$. Let $H = \phi^{-1}(W^0)$, an open subset of $G$. Consider the diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
H \times H & \xrightarrow{\phi \times \phi} & W^0 \times W^0 \\
\downarrow u & & \downarrow v \\
G & \xrightarrow{\phi} & W \supset W^0
\end{array}
$$

where $u$ and $v$ are induced by the group operations in $G$ and $W^0$. We have two maps $H \times H \to W$, namely $\phi \circ u$ and $v \circ (\phi \times \phi)$. Since by hypothesis the generic fiber $G_\eta$ is an elliptic curve and, by construction, $W_\eta$ is a Weierstrass model for it, we have $W^0_\eta = W_\eta$, $H_\eta = G_\eta$ and $\phi : G_\eta \to W^0_\eta$ is a group isomorphism. Therefore our two maps agree generically. Since $G/S$ is separated, the locus on which they agree is closed in $H \times H$. Hence it is all of $H \times H$ and our diagram commutes, $u$ factors through $\phi^{-1}(W^0) = H$, and $H$ is closed under the group law in $G$. Similarly $H$ is closed under the involution $a \mapsto -a$, so is an open subalgebraic space group of $G$, and $\phi : H \to W^0$ is a homomorphism of group functors. We claim that $H = G$. Since $H$ is open in $G$, it suffices to check that the fibers $H_s$ and $G_s$ are equal for each $s \in S$. This is true because $G_s$ is a smooth connected group of dimension 1, and therefore contains no proper subgroup of positive dimension.

**Lemma 2.13.** The map $\phi : G \to W^0$ is a surjective monomorphism.

**Proof.** To prove that the homomorphism $\phi$ is a monomorphism, it suffices to prove its kernel $K$, a closed subgroup of $G$, is zero. The divisors of the sections $x$ and $y$ of $V_3$ are of the form $(x) = -2[e] + D$ and $(y) = -3[e] + D'$, with $D$ and $D'$ effective and not meeting $[e]$. The fact that $1/x$ vanishes on $K$ shows that $K$ is supported on $[e]$. The function $x/y$ vanishes on $K$, and the divisor of $x/y$ in the complement of the support of $D + D'$ is $[e]$. Therefore the kernel is $[e]$, as claimed.

**Lemma 2.14.** The map $\phi : G \to W^0$ is flat.

**Proof.** Let $g \in G$ map to $w \in W^0$. We must show that the map of local rings $A = O_w \to O_g = B$ is flat. Since the completion of a local ring is faithfully flat over the ring, it suffices to show that $\hat{A} \to \hat{B}$ is flat. Let $m$ be the maximal ideal of the local ring $O_s$, where $s$ is the image of $g$ in $S$. Let $\overline{A} = \hat{A}/mA$ and $\overline{B} = \hat{B}/m\hat{B}$. These are the completions of the local rings of the fibres of $W^0$ and $G$ respectively. Because $\phi$ is an isomorphism on fibres, by Lemma 2.13, $\overline{A} \to \overline{B}$ is an isomorphism. It follows that the map $\hat{A} \to \hat{B}$ is surjective, and since $\hat{A}, \hat{B}$ are regular local rings of the same dimension, it is bijective.
Since a flat monomorphism is an open immersion ([Kn], II, 6.15), this shows \( \phi : G \approx W^0 \), finishing the proof of Proposition 2.11 above and Theorem 2.

§3 The generic plane cubic

Throughout this section the following notation is in force. We let \( S \) denote the complement of the zero section in affine 10-space \( \mathbb{A}^{10}_\mathbb{Z} \) over \( \mathbb{Z} \), and let \( f \) be the generic ternary cubic whose coefficients are the ten coordinate functions on \( \mathbb{A}^{10} \). We denote the generic family of plane cubics \( f = 0 \) by \( X \subset \mathbb{P}^2_S \). Let \( J \) be the Weierstrass group scheme defined by \( f^* = 0 \) and let \( P := \text{Pic}^0_{X/S} \). Our aim is to show \( J \approx P \), that is, to prove Theorem 1 for \( X/S \).

Knowing Theorem 1 for \( X/S \) proves it in general because the formation of \( \text{Pic}^0 \) commutes with base change, and an arbitrary \( X'/S' \) as in Theorem 1 is the base change of our generic \( X/S \) by the morphism \( S' \rightarrow S \) taking the variable coefficients of the generic \( f \) to the corresponding coefficients of the special cubic \( f' \) defining \( X' \).

Proposition 3.1. Properties of \( X/S \).

(i) The map \( \pi : X \rightarrow S \) is projective, flat, of relative dimension 1.

(ii) The map \( \pi : X \rightarrow S \) is cohomologically flat in dimension 0, that is, \( \pi_* \mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{O}_S \), and the same holds after arbitrary base change \( S' \rightarrow S \).

(iii) In codimension one on \( S \), the geometric fibers of \( X \) are irreducible plane cubics with at most a node as singularity.

Proof. (i) That \( X/S \) is projective of relative dimension 1 is obvious, it is flat because \( X \) is a relative complete intersection, being defined by one equation.

(ii) That \( \pi_* \mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{O}_S \) follows from the exact sequence

\[
0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-3) \xrightarrow{f} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow 0
\]

because \( \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2} = \mathcal{O}_S \) and \( R^q \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-3) = 0 \) for \( q = 0, 1 \). The same holds after an arbitrary base extension \( S' \rightarrow S \), since we used no special property of \( S \) in this argument.

To prove (iii) we note first that the projective spaces of linear forms and quadratic forms in three variables are 2 and 5 respectively. Hence the space of reducible cubics is of codimension 2 in the 9 dimensional space of all ternary cubic curves and the fibers of \( X \) of codimension 1 are therefore irreducible. If a cubic has two singular points, it contains the line joining them. Therefore the fibers of \( X \) of codimension 1 have at most one singularity.

To show that their only possible singularity is a node we use the following lemma which is surely classical, at least in characteristic 0. We include a proof here for the convenience of the reader. Let \( \Delta = \Delta(f) \) and \( c_4 = c_4(f) \), functions on \( S \).

Lemma 3.2. The fiber \( X_s \) at a geometric point \( s \) of \( S \) is smooth if and only if \( \Delta(s) \neq 0 \). If \( \Delta(s) = 0 \), then \( c_4(s) \neq 0 \) if and only if all singularities of \( X_s \) are normal crossings.

Proof. Let \( f_s = 0 \) be the equation for \( X_s \subset \mathbb{P}^2_s \). If \( f_s \) is a Weierstrass cubic the lemma is well known ([Si], III, 1.4). If \( f' \) is obtained from \( f_s \) by a linear change of coordinates with determinant
then \( \Delta(f') = d^{12}\Delta(f_s) \), so we will be done if there is a choice of coordinates in \( \mathbb{P}^2_s \) for which \( X_s \) is a Weierstrass cubic. It is easy to see that the condition for this is that \( X \) be irreducible and have a rational smooth point of inflection. If \( X_s \) is smooth this condition is satisfied, even in characteristic 3, because the group of divisor classes of degree 0 is divisible by 3, hence each class of degree 3 is 3 times a class of degree 1. Therefore the linear system of intersections of \( X_s \) with lines in \( \mathbb{P}^2_s \) contains a divisor which is three times a point, and such a point is a flex.

Suppose \( X_s \) is not smooth. Given a singularity, we can choose coordinates in \( \mathbb{P}^2_s \) so it is at \((0,0,1)\). Then, at \( s \), with notation as in (1.4), \( C = R = U = 0 \), and even without a computer, it is easy to check from (1.6) that the equation of \( W_s \) is

\[
f^*(x,y,z) = y^2z + Mxyz - x^3 + QVx^2z,
\]

and

\[
b_2 = M^2 - 4QV, \quad b_4 = b_6 = b_8 = 0, \quad c_4 = (M^2 - 4QV)^2, \quad \Delta = 0.
\]

This completes the proof of Lemma 3.2 because \( c_4 \) is the discriminant of the quadratic form \( y^2 + Mxy + QVx^2 \).

To finish the proof of (iii) we must show that all points of the locus \( \Delta = c_4 = 0 \) on \( S \) are of codimension at least 2, or in other words, that \( \Delta \) and \( c_4 \) have no common factor in the polynomial ring \( \mathbb{Z}[A, \ldots, M] \) generated by the 10 coefficients of \( f \). It suffices to show they have no common factor modulo 2. This is true because

\[
c_4 \equiv M^4 \mod 2, \quad \Delta \equiv (ABC + AQU + BRV + CPT + PQR + TUV)^4 \mod (2, M).
\]

**Proposition 3.3. Properties of Pic\(^0\)\(_{X/S}\).**

(i) \( \text{Pic}_{X/S} = R^1\pi_*\mathbb{G}_m \), computed in the fppf topology, i.e., \( \text{Pic}_{X/S} \) is the fppf sheaf associated to the presheaf \( S' \mapsto \text{Pic}(X \times_S S') \).

(ii) \( P := \text{Pic}^0_{X/S} \) is the subfunctor of \( \text{Pic}_{X/S} \) which consists of the elements whose restrictions to all fibers belong to the identity component. An equivalent definition if the relative dimension of \( X/S \) is 1 is that \( \text{Pic}^0_{X/S} \) consists of the elements of \( \text{Pic}_{X/S} \) which are represented by invertible sheaves whose restriction to every reduced irreducible component of every geometric fiber of \( X/S \) is of degree 0.

(iii) If \( X'/S' \) has a section \( a : S' \to X' \), then

\[
\text{Pic}_{X/S}(S') \approx \text{Pic} X'/\text{Pic} S' \approx \ker(a^* : \text{Pic} X' \to \text{Pic} S').
\]

(iv) The fiber of \( \text{Pic}_{X/S} \) at a geometric point \( s \) is the Picard scheme of the fiber \( X_s \). For \( s \) of codimension 1 in \( S \), \( P_s \) is either an elliptic curve or \( \mathbb{G}_m \).

(v) \( \text{Pic}_{X/S} \) is represented by an algebraic space locally of finite type over \( S \).

(vi) \( \text{Pic}_{X/S} \) is smooth over \( S \) and \( P \) is an open subgroup of \( \text{Pic}_{X/S} \).

(vii) \( P \) is separated over \( S \).
Proofs. (i) Definition, cf. [BLR], Ch.8.


(iii) In view of Proposition 3.1 (ii) the spectral sequence $H^p(S', R^q\pi'_*\mathbb{G}_m) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}(X', \mathbb{G}_m)$ yields an exact sequence

$$0 \to \text{Pic } S' \xrightarrow{\pi^*} \text{Pic } X' \to \text{Pic}_{X/S}(S') \to H^2(S', \mathbb{G}_m) \xrightarrow{\pi^*} H^2(X', \mathbb{G}_m)$$

and $a^*\pi^* = \text{identity.}$ (See [BLR], 8.1, Prop.4).

(iv) By a theorem of Murre and Oort, cf. [BLR], 8.2, Th.3, the fiber of $\text{Pic}_{X/S}$ at a point $s \in S$ is the Picard scheme of the fiber $X_s$. In codimension 1, $X_s$ is an irreducible plane cubic, either smooth or nodal, and as is well known, $P_s$ is either an elliptic curve or $G_m$, accordingly.

(v) This is proved in [A, Thm.7.3]; see also [BLR], 8.3.

(vi) This is true because $X/S$ is proper, flat, locally of finite presentation of relative dimension 1, cf. [BLR], 8.4, Prop.2.

(vii) We check the valuative criterion. Let $T$ be a scheme over $S$ which is the spectrum of a discrete valuation ring with local parameter $t$, generic point $\xi$, and denote $X \times S T$ by $X_T$. We must show $P(T) \to P(\xi)$ is injective. Since $P$ is an fppf sheaf, it suffices to do this after an fppf base change. This will enable us to assume that $X_T/T$ has a section. Let $K$ be a finite extension field of $k(\xi)$ over which $X_\xi$ has a rational point. It is enough to check the valuative criterion with $T$'s which are of essentially finite type over $S$, so we assume this. Replace $T$ by the localization, at a closed point, of its normalization in $K$, an fppf base change. Now $X_T/T$ has a section since it is projective and has a section at the generic point. Hence elements of $P(T)$ are represented by invertible sheaves on $X_T$ and what has to be proved is that if $L$ is an invertible sheaf on $X_T$ representing an element of $\text{Pic}_{X_T/T}$ such that $L | X_\xi \approx \mathcal{O}_{X_\xi}$, then $L \approx \mathcal{O}_X$.

Consider the exact sequence

$$0 \to L \xrightarrow{t} L \to L_0 \to 0,$$

where $L_0$ is the restriction of $L$ to the closed fiber $X_0$. Since $H^0(X_\xi, L_\xi) \approx k(\xi)$ is of dimension 1, $H^0(X, L)$ is of rank 1. Therefore there exists a section $u \in H^0(X, L)$ whose restriction to the special fiber $X_0$ is not 0. If we can show that $u$ does not vanish on $X_0$, then, since its zero locus is closed in $X$, $u$ does not vanish on $X_T$, hence generates $L$. Therefore the following lemma, with $D = X_0$ and $L = L_0$, completes the proof of (vii).

**Lemma 3.4.** Let $k$ be a field, $D$ an effective divisor in $\mathbb{P}_k^2$, and $L = L_D$ an invertible sheaf on $D$ whose restriction to each reduced irreducible component of $D$ is of degree $\leq 0$. If $u$ is a non-zero section of $L$, then degree $D = 0$ and $u$ has no zeros on $D$.

**Proof.** We use induction on the degree $d$ of $D$. If the restriction of $u$ to each reduced irreducible component of $D$ is not zero, then we are done, since the lemma is obvious in case $D$ is reduced...
and irreducible. Suppose $u \neq 0$, but $u$ vanishes on some reduced irreducible component $A$ of degree $a$. Let $B = D - A$ and $b = d - a = \deg(B)$. Tensoring the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_B(-a) \to \mathcal{O}_D \to \mathcal{O}_A \to 0$$

with $L$ gives

$$0 \to L_B(-a) \to L_D \to L_A \to 0$$

and since $u$ is zero in $L_A$, it is the image of a non-zero section $v$ of $L_B(-a)$. The degree of $L_B(-a)$ is $\deg L_B - ab \leq -ab$. By induction we conclude $a = 0$ or $b = 0$, a contradiction because $D \neq A \neq 0$.

**Lemma 3.5.** Our base scheme $S$ satisfies $\text{Pic}(S) = 0$ and $H^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S) = 0$.

*Proof.* The open immersion $S \subset \mathbb{A}_{10}^Z$ gives a bijection on divisors so $\text{Pic}(S) = 0$. Let $P = S/\mathbb{G}_m$, the 9 dimensional projective space on the variables. The map $\pi : S \to P$ is affine, so $H^q(S, \mathcal{O}_S) = H^q(P, \pi_* \mathcal{O}_S)$. The action of $\mathbb{G}_m$ grades $\pi_* \mathcal{O}_S$, and $\pi_* \mathcal{O}_S = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_P(n)$. Hence $H^q(P, \pi_* \mathcal{O}_S) = 0$ for $0 < q < 9$.

By Proposition 3.3, and Lemma 3.5, $P$ satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 2 (ii) in the introduction and is therefore a Weierstrass group scheme.

**Lemma 3.6.** Let $G$ be a Weierstrass group scheme over $S$ given by a Weierstrass cubic $g$ with the same invariants $c_4, c_6$, as $f$. Then $G$ is isomorphic to $P$.

*Proof.* Since $k(\eta)$ is of characteristic 0, and $1728\Delta = c_4^3 - c_6^2$, $g$ has the same $\Delta$ as $f$. By Proposition 3.1(iii), $\Delta$ and $c_4$ do not vanish simultaneously in codimension 1. Therefore $G$ and $P$ satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem 3 (a), and to finish the proof we have only to show that the generic fibers of $G_{\eta}$ and $P_{\eta}$ are isomorphic. Here are two ways to do that.

(a) As explained in the introduction, it follows from [AKM^3P] that $P_{\eta}$, the Jacobian of the curve over $k(\eta)$ defined by $f = 0$, is the curve

$$y^2 = x^3 - \frac{c_4(f)}{48}x - \frac{c_6(f)}{864}.$$ 

By (1.3), $G_{\eta}$ is isomorphic to the same curve since by hypothesis $c_i(g) = c_i(f)$ for $i = 4, 6$. Hence $G_{\eta} \approx P_{\eta}$.

(b) We use Theorem 3 (b). Let $K$ be an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0 and $h$ a ternary cubic form with coefficients in $K$ whose zero locus is smooth. Let $S, T$ and $c_4, c_6$ be its invariants as in (1.7). Over $\mathbb{C}$, hence over $K$, it is classical that the modular invariant $j = j(h)$ defined by

$$j = \frac{64S^3}{64S^3 + T^2} = \frac{1728c_4^3}{c_4^3 - c_6^2} = \frac{c_4^3}{\Delta}$$

depends only on the isomorphism class over $K$ of the curve $h = 0$. 
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Since $X_\eta$ and its Jacobian $P_\eta$ are smooth and isomorphic over the algebraic closure of $k(\eta)$, $j(P_\eta) = j(X_\eta) = j(G_\eta)$. If $G_\eta$ and $P_\eta$ were not isomorphic then by Theorem 3 (b) there would exist a quadratic extension $L$ of the field $k(\eta)$ unramified in codimension 1 on $S$. But $k(\eta)$ is a rational function field over $\mathbb{Q}$, so has no such extension. Hence $G_\eta \approx P_\eta$.

This proves theorem 1, because $f^*$ and $f$ have the same invariants, and Lemma 3.6 with $g = f^*$ shows that $J$ is isomorphic to $P$.
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