Potential predictability of malaria in Africa using ECMWF monthly and seasonal climate forecasts
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ABSTRACT

Idealized model experiments investigate the advance warning for malaria presently possible using temperature and rainfall predictions from state-of-the-art operational monthly and seasonal weather prediction systems. The climate forecasts drive a dynamical malaria model for all Africa, and the predictions are evaluated using reanalysis data. The regions and months for which climate is responsible for significant interannual malaria transmission variability are first identified. In addition to epidemic-prone zones these also include hyperendemic regions subject to high variability during specific months of the year, often associated with the monsoon onset. In many of these areas temperature anomalies are predictable from one to two months ahead, while reliable precipitation forecasts are available in Eastern and Southern Africa one month ahead. The inherent lag between the rainy seasons and malaria transmission results in potential predictability in malaria transmission three to four months in advance, extending the early warning available from environmental monitoring by one to two months, although the realizable forecast skill will be less than this due to an imperfect malaria model. A preliminary examination of the forecasts for the highlands of Uganda and Kenya show that the system is able to predict the years during the last two decades in which documented highland outbreaks occurred, in particular the major event of 1998, but that the timing of outbreaks was often imprecise and inconsistent across leadtimes. Finally, in addition to country-level evaluation with district health data, issues that need addressing in order to integrate such a climate-based prediction system into health decision processes are briefly discussed.

1. Introduction

Despite a reduction in range over the past century and a significant scale-up of control measures over the past decade, malaria remains a disease with a heavy health burden. The life cycles of the malaria parasite and its mosquito vector are affected by climate, principally temperature and rainfall (Craig et al. 1999). Thus, in addition to other factors such as land cover, human migration, interventions and socio-economic conditions which can alter the local disease prevalence significantly (Koram et al. 1995; Martens and Hall 2000), year to year fluctuations in climate can lead directly to variability in malaria transmission intensity. The malaria parasite development time in both host and vector results in a temperature-dependent lag of approximately one to two months between the onset of suitable wet conditions for vector proliferation and symptomatic cases (e.g. Teklehaimanot et al. 2004; Bomblies et al. 2009). Thus accurate real-time monitoring of temperature and rainfall conditions could provide useful information concerning malaria transmission in Malaria Early Warning Systems (MEWS) one to two months in advance. Worrall et al. (2008) proclaim the cost-effectiveness of well planned interventions and emphasize the subsequent need for effective MEWS.

Mabaso and Ndlovu (2012) extensively reviewed articles that considered the relationship between climate and malaria in Africa and in particular those that attempted to employ the resulting information in MEWS through climate monitoring and/or forecasting. In particular, Rogers et al. (2002) highlighted the potential role of satellite monitoring of climate conditions in early warning systems for malaria, and called for improvements in disease modelling capabilities to fulfill this potential. Hay et al. (2003a) also affirmed that rainfall monitoring could lead to improved planning potential. This was demonstrated in a case study for the Kenyan highlands, which also showed that the seasonal forecast models at the time were inadequately skillful to extend the advance warning provided by rainfall observations (Hay et al. 2003b). The study also emphasized the fact that malaria case monitoring provided inadequate advance warning to implement mitigation actions, as out-
breaks were only detectable once underway. Grover-Kopec et al. (2005) subsequently introduced a MEWS based on rainfall monitoring from satellite retrievals. The difference in advance warning, sometimes referred to as a forecast lead time, provided by case monitoring, climate monitoring and climate forecasting was contrasted in Ceccato et al. (2007), emphasizing the additional potential gain in time for planners if skillful climate forecasts could be developed and successfully integrated into health planning policy.

If the key environmental variables of temperature and rainfall could be accurately predicted using weather forecasts, the warning of malaria outbreaks could potentially be provided earlier and on a regional or even continental scale. Until the most recent decade, the inaccuracy of dynamical forecasts of the weather in Africa precluded their effective use, but this situation has improved with the latest generation systems (Kim et al. 2012). Jones et al. (2007) assessed past climate forecasts (hindcasts) conducted for the DEMETER project (Palmer et al. 2004) to show that they could have potentially been used to predict the 1997 and 1998 highlands outbreaks in north west Tanzania. A landmark study by Thomson et al. (2006) also used the DEMETER hindcasts to drive a simple statistical model for malaria trained with country-averaged cases in Botswana and showed positive skill for this index in a cross-validation exercise up to 6 months in advance. In Africa, Botswana is a country with relatively high seasonal predictability due to strong teleconnections to El Ninó Southern Oscillation (ENSO). The country was also chosen for the study since it has a long multi-decadal health dataset for malaria, needed to derive the statistical model, a rarity on the continent. Jones and Morse (2010) advanced this work by substituting the statistical malaria model with a more complex dynamical model, the Liverpool malaria model (LMM, Hoshen and Morse 2004), which was driven for a grid of points covering Botswana. Jones and Morse (2010) showed that the malaria forecasts demonstrated positive skill and economical value in particular for low-malaria years. The lack of initial conditions for the malaria model meant that the LMM had to be “spun-up” from idealized initial conditions, potentially reducing the system skill.

Jones and Morse (2012) repeated this study using a more recent set of climate hindcasts conducted for the ENSEMBLES project (Weisheimer et al. 2009), focusing this time on points in central and west Africa subject to high year to year variability, where climate is likely to play a more significant role in interannual transmission variations. The integrations were compared to malaria model runs driven by ERA-40 reanalysis and thus the validation was referred to as a “Tier-2” validation (Morse et al. 2005) as it does not directly compare the malaria model to health or entomological data and thus maximizes the reported skill by not accounting for malaria model error. While forecast skill was absent in many regions, skill was positive and linked to temperature variability in highlands of Cameroon, while rainfall variability was key in parts of Niger and Nigeria in west Africa.

In this paper, the work of Jones and Morse (2010, 2012) is advanced in several ways. Firstly, the previous studies all used stand-alone one-off hindcast datasets, including forecast systems that were not necessarily operational but rather used for research purposes. Here we construct an African malaria forecast system based on a fully-operational, state-of-the-art weather and climate prediction system, combining monthly and seasonal forecasting systems together in an attempt to maximize climate prediction skill. Secondly, the present system realistically initializes the dynamical malaria model rather than spinning up the model, again to maximize prediction skill. Thirdly, we extend the analysis of Jones and Morse (2010, 2012) spatially by examining the skill across the continent of Africa in a single system. As Jones and Morse (2012), the focus is on areas of high interannual variability in transmission, where climate plays a key role.

After using reanalysis driven malaria integrations to define the regions of high interannual variability in malaria, the article examines the malaria integrations to examine the maximum ‘potential’ advance warning that could be achieved in malaria predictions using this prediction system in an operational context, and then relates these to the prediction skill in temperature and rainfall. The word ‘potential’ is emphasized to stress that this is an idealized investigation, whereby the skill of the climate forecast driven malaria model is evaluated using reanalysis driven malaria integrations (a tier 2 evaluation). Thus the skill of the malaria forecasts refers to the ‘maximum potential predictability’, as it effectively neglects the impact of errors in the malaria model, which would reduce the actual skill below that reported in these investigations. This approach is adopted in this initial study as no continent-wide malaria incidence datasets exists that can be used to evaluate malaria forecasts. One purpose of this study is thus to identify regions of the continent where the system demonstrates particular potential usefulness, that can then be examined in future country-specific, focused studies from the District Health Information Systems (DHIS) health data.

2. Methods

a. Overview of malaria prediction system

The Malaria early warning system (MEWS) consists of two modelling components: a weather forecasting system and a dynamical malaria model (Fig. 1). The first 32 days of the weather forecast uses temperature and precipitation provided by a high spatial resolution weather prediction system. These forecasts extend the 15 day ensemble prediction system out to 32 days once (recently increased
to twice) per week, and is officially termed the “extended range forecast” at ECMWF (Vitart et al. 2008). From day 33, the forecasts of the lower resolution and longer-range system 4 seasonal forecasting system (Molteni et al. 2011) are used for the remainder of the four month forecast. To emphasize the difference in timescales of the two systems, they will be referred to respectively as the “monthly” and “seasonal” forecasts hereafter. Both weather forecast systems provide 51 individual forecasts starting from slightly different initial conditions in order to sample forecast uncertainty. Further details of the weather forecasting systems that contribute to this seamless system are given in appendix A.

Temperature from both systems is adjusted using correction of the mean bias as a function of location, calendar month and forecast lead-time with respect to the analysis data and subsequently statistically downscaled to the 27km resolution using a fixed lapse rate correction to account for the topography (Giorgi et al. 2003). This resolution is adequately fine to allow aggregation for comparison to district level health data if required. Precipitation is also downscaled to this resolution using first order conservative remapping (described in Jones 1999). One weakness of this first generation system is that the precipitation forecasts are not presently bias corrected, implying that the mean simulated malaria transmission will be subject to systematic biases that can be caused by misplaced monsoon locations (e.g. see analysis of the earlier SYS3 in Tompkins and Feudale 2010) or mis-timed rain onsets (Diro et al. 2012, examined SYS3 performance in the horn of Africa). Present research is investigating the relative performance of cumulative distribution function (CDF) matching approaches Piani et al. (2009); Hempel et al. (2013) against EOF-based approaches (Feudale and Tompkins 2011; Di Giuseppe et al. 2013b,a).

The resulting daily precipitation and temperature forecasts are then used to drive the VECTRI dynamical malaria model (Tompkins and Ermert 2013), described briefly in the next section, to produce an ensemble of forecasts of a range of epidemiological and entomological measures.

b. The malaria model

A key component of the malaria forecasting system is the dynamical malaria model VECTRI. This is fully described in Tompkins and Ermert (2013), thus only brief details are given here. The mathematical model solves a set of equations using a daily timestep that describe the life-cycles of the key vector, *Anopheles gambiae* and the *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria parasite. Processes such as the gonotrophic cycle and the parasite and vector larval development rates are temperature sensitive, as are the mortality rates of the vector in the larval and adult stages. The combination of these temperature effects results in the model reproducing the observed nonlinear relationship between temperature and malaria, whereby malaria increases with temperature until a peak is reached between 25 to 30°C and reduces thereafter (e.g. Craig et al. 1999; Lunde et al. 2013).

Rainfall drives a simple representation for the fractional coverage of a grid-cell by temporary water bodies, in a so-called pond parameterization. In the present version of the model no spatial representation of permanent (year-round) open water bodies or wetlands is included. While these are certainly can be important local hotspots of year-round disease transmission (Carter et al. 2000; Bousema et al. 2012), it is recalled that they are unlikely to lead to year-to-year variations in malaria in response to climate, the focus of this study. In the majority of locations, the malaria season is strongly associated with the rainy season with a one to two month lag, indicating that the temporary ponds
that are represented in the model are the key determinant of breeding site availability.

The relationship between rainfall and malaria is strongly nonlinear, since while rainfall drives the creation of temporary water bodies, the model also includes a representation of the flushing effect, whereby intense rainfall increases the mortality of early stage larvae (Paaijmans et al. 2007). Thus in locations with low rainfall amounts, malaria transmission intensity increases with rainfall, but above a certain threshold the malaria transmission decreases with rainfall, as observed in Malawi and Botswana for example (Thomson et al. 2005; Lowe et al. 2013). Note that the value for monthly rainfall at which transmission peaks will vary significantly both in time and space according to the sub-seasonal variability in rain events; rain falling in one or two extreme events separated by a dry break will promote far less transmission than the same rainfall amount falling evenly over a month. This effect is captured in the model which operates on a daily timestep, but this does imply that the model will be sensitive to the representation of the simulated daily rainfall distributions.

Similar to the LMM Hoshen and Morse (2004), the VECTRI model processes a multi-compartmental approach to resolve the key model processes. This is done since representing the delay between the onset of rainy conditions and the malaria transmission season is important for forecasting purposes. One key feature of the VECTRI model is that is explicitly accounts for the human population density in the calculation of biting rates and host-to-vector and vector-to-host transmission probabilities for the parasite.

The key model predicted variables are the parasite ratio (PR) and the entomological inoculation rate (EIR, the number of infectious bites per person per time). For the skill assessment of the malaria forecasts we uses the natural logarithm of the EIR, however, rather than the EIR itself. The logarithm of EIR is relevant as it has been demonstrated to relate proportionally to morbidity in infants (Smith et al. 1998) and thus represents the nonlinear relationship between EIR and expected cases. In west Africa, a considerable number of isolated field studies have measured these indicators. Direct comparison to these field studies in west Africa and the MAP malaria analysis for 2010 (Gething et al. 2011) shows that the VECTRI dynamical malaria model used in this forecast system can approximately reproduce the spatial distribution of EIR (see Tompkins and Ermert 2013) although the model simulation obviously can not produce observations exactly as the model only accounts for climate, topography and host population density, and has no knowledge of interventions made to reduce transmission. Moreover, EIR measurements are often taken at single times and locations and are subject to large uncertainty due to the sampling and calculation method.

Although VECTRI is a complex spatial model, and was in fact the only fully dynamical model employed in two recent malaria model intercomparison studies for future climate scenarios (Piontek et al. 2013; Caminade et al. 2014), several processes are neglected. There is presently a lack of treatment of host immunity, although this is less important in areas where climate drives high year to year variability and host immunity is limited, but remains a caveat on the results in intermediate transmission zones. Another simplification in the model is that it assumes bites received per person are randomly distributed, thus neglecting heterogeneities in breeding sites distribution relative human habitations within a grid cell, and also in host attractiveness to vectors (e.g. Knols et al. 1995), which changes the dynamic between EIR and PR (Smith et al. 2005). In summary, evaluation of the malaria model is ongoing, and although preliminary evaluations of this new model are promising, the caveat that malaria model inaccuracies will reduce actual malaria forecast skill below the potential maximum should be kept in mind.

In order to assess forecast skill in predicting the metric of ln(EIR), we adopt the approach frequently used in NWP of comparing the forecast to the analysis (Simmons and Hollingsworth 2002). A direct comparison to clinical case numbers on a continental scale is not feasible due to complexity of accounting for under reporting and mis-diagnosis of fever cases, changes in identification (fever, microscopy, rapid diagnostic test kits) over time and differences in reporting systems between countries and the lack of access to such data in many countries (Chech et al. 2006; Nankibirwa et al. 2009; Okiro and Snow 2010). Thus, here the aim is to present an analysis of the potential skill of the system on a pan-continent scale, with the emphasis on potential highlighting the neglect of malaria model error which would result in lower effective skill. Areas with high potential skill can subsequently be examined on a country level using district level case data. Results of such an undertaking conducted for the system in Uganda and Rwanda will be reported in an separate article.

c. Malaria model initial conditions

One difference of the present study to Jones and Morse (2010) and Jones and Morse (2012) is that here the malaria model is initialized from realistic initial conditions. Any prediction of the future state of a system necessarily depends on the state conditions at the initial time. Thus, the malaria forecasting system requires an assessment of the important entomological and epidemiological variables such as vector adult and larvae density, the circumsporozoite protein rate (CSPR), and parasite ratio, in addition to the surface hydrological state giving the availability of breeding sites, to initialize the malaria modelling component correctly. Without this step the malaria model would suffer from so-called ‘spin up’ in the first weeks of the forecast, as the model adjusts from idealized initial conditions,
and valuable information concerning the climate conditions prior to the forecast start would be lost.

Borrowing the terminology widely used in the atmospheric numerical weather prediction community, we refer to this assessment as the ‘malaria analysis’. It is important to stress that in contrast to atmospheric analysis systems, the malaria analysis does not incorporate health or entomological observations. This is due to the fact that direct observations of entomological variables are not generally available as they are collected in isolated research projects, and are in any case not available in near-real time required for an operational framework.

We designed the analysis system using temperature and precipitation from the operational ERA-Interim reanalysis system of ECMWF (Dee et al. 2011) to drive the malaria model and provide malaria analyses of the relevant variables for each day from 1981 to the present. In common with all flux variables, precipitation is not directly available in the analysis and is thus derived from a short range 24 hour forecast starting from each 00Z analysis cycle. The resulting analyses are used to provide initial conditions for each malaria forecast. Thus if a forecast starts mid-way in a wetter than usual season, for example, the initial conditions will reflect this in terms of greater vector and larvae densities and more breeding sites available. The skill of the malaria forecasts is consequently not only impacted by the skill of the climate forecasts, but also in part derives from the knowledge of the climate anomalies that occurred prior to the forecast start that is contained in the malaria analysis system. To our best knowledge, this is the first such dynamical forecasting system that attempts to fully initialize the malaria model component from an analysis system.

The choice of using reanalysis for this task was made with operational requirements in mind. Firstly, it combines the available sparse, in situ measurements with the continuously changing remotely sensed information into a self-consistent assessment of the atmospheric state, thus maximizing continuity over time while ensuring continual spatial coverage and near real-time operational delivery. For temperature, in situ measurements are sparse in many regions in Africa, or not available on the global telecommunications system (GTS) in real-time. Satellite retrievals of surface temperature are not available on a daily timescale, or for long periods and can be subject to substantial errors over land (Li et al. 2013). The precipitation of the reanalysis is more reliant on the model’s representation of moist physical processes. Nevertheless, many satellite-derived rainfall products are not available on a daily timescale or in near real-time. At the time the system was constructed, the commonly available near real-time, daily satellite products such as FEWS RFE (T. Love 2002), CMORPH (Janowiak et al. 2005) and TRMM 3B42 (Kummerow et al. 1998) were not available for the entire 19 year period to initialize the hindcast suite\(^1\). In any case, despite documented biases in the distribution of rainfall intensity and the rainfall mean, the reanalysis derived rainfall is nevertheless capable of representing the intraseasonal variability over Africa, with Thiemig et al. (2012) demonstrating its competitiveness with some satellite-based products. Dutra et al. (2013) found that ERA Interim rainfall could be successfully used in drought monitoring.

3. Results

a. Identifying target zones

Previous efforts to provide early warnings have pinpointed a selection of locations subject to sporadic epidemics or irregular short transmission seasons where adult immunity is lower (Doolan et al. 2009) and the intermittent transmission implies health facilities may not be adequately prepared for significant outbreaks (Thomson and Connor 2001; Abeoku et al. 2004; Cox and Abeiku 2007). Jones and Morse (2012) also focused on areas with high year to year variability. This is important as in many locations, climate variability will have a limited impact on year to year variability in malaria transmission intensity. Firstly, the relationship of the proportion of vector surviving sporogony as a function of temperature (e.g. see box 1 of Craig et al. 1999) peaks in the range of 26°C to 33°C. The range of the peak depends on the vector in question and assumptions concerning mortality in the model (Lunde et al. 2013), but it is clear that in this range the malaria transmission will be relatively insensitive to temperature anomalies on the order of a degree, compared to similar perturbations at the cooler or warmer limits of transmission, where malaria transmission probability changes more rapidly with temperature. Moreover, in highly endemic areas where the infant parasite ratio starts to saturate at high values, host dynamics are much more relevant for year to year variability, reducing sensitivity to interannual changes in climate (Hay et al. 2001). Thus, even if the underlying climate predictions prove to be accurate in highly endemic areas, climate-driven malaria forecasts are likely to be of limited usefulness. There is also the question as to how malaria forecasts would be of use for health planning in districts familiar with coping with regular seasonal transmission. The first step is therefore to locate areas and calendar months with high variability in malaria prevalence, using the daily malaria analysis system.

The standard deviation of the parasite ratio for each calendar month provide an insight to the modes of variability of malaria transmission, with Fig. 2 showing four example months. In each month examined, the standard deviation of PR shows three distinct modes. There is a

\(^1\)The second version of the FEWS ARC product now covers the period 1983-present, although there are limited studies to evaluate the accuracy of this product that does not use microwave information.
mode at zero parasite ratio which simply identifies malaria-free zones. The second mode identifies regions where the year to year standard deviation is non-zero, but less than around 10%, with the upper bound changing slightly from month to month. This mode is associated with endemic zones, where transmission regularly occurs in those months each year.

The last mode encompasses the remaining higher values of standard deviation exceeding 10%. The highest standard deviations belong to locations where transmission is very intermittent and does not occur every year but instead in occasional epidemic outbreaks. Such events are termed a ‘true’ or ‘classic’ epidemic in the respective notations of Worrall et al. (2004) and Kiszewski and Teklehaimanot (2004). However, this mode also includes locations where transmission is regular each year, but the transmission season is irregular in the particular month in question; for example occurring later or earlier according to variability in the onset of monsoon rains. Thus this mode also encompasses situations of ‘unusual seasonal transmission’ (Worrall et al. 2004) and may include mesoendemic and hyperendemic zones.

Based on this analysis of the variability of malaria prevalence rates, we subsequently identify all locations where the standard deviation of PR for a particular month exceeds 10% of the population to identify the epidemic model. In order to relate prevalence more closely to expected cases, an additional filter is applied to exclude months in which transmission intensity is minimal and no new cases are expected. This is accomplished by excluding months where the EIR falls below 0.01 per month. The locations are then subdivided into two categories. The first category includes the hypo- and mesoendemic zones where immunity is likely to be lower and the malaria hazard impacts the entire population. The second category concerns the hyperendemic regions, where children under five are most at risk. Most holoendemic locations are excluded by the PR variability threshold since the interannual variation is low for all calendar months. This subdivision is made since malaria interventions and preparations are likely to differ in the two transmission environments. The separation of hypo/mesoendemic from hyperendemic regions is made using the common threshold of 0.5 for annual mean PR (Hay et al. 2004). This threshold can be applied to the whole population since the model neglects immunity, which increases parasite clearance rates in adults relative to malaria naive children, but which also implies that the model will overestimate the area of the hyperendemic region relative to the lower transmission classes.

The resulting map in Fig. 3 identifies where and when a reliable malaria forecast would have a higher potential value to the decision maker. The map identifies epidemic regions such as the Sahel fringe, the East African highlands and the southern-most transmission regions skirting through Botswana, southern Africa and Mozambique. In each region the malaria model’s representation of the vector and parasite life cycles results a peak malaria transmission that is lagged with respect to the rainy season by one to two months. Using Afripop population (Linard et al. 2012) density figures scaled to reproduce 2011 continent-total population estimates, 82.6 million people are estimated to live in epidemic regions. This is lower than previous estimates (Snow et al. 1999; Worrall et al. 2004) as a proportion of the total population due to the VECTRI model neglect of immunity, which in turn results in a positive bias in prevalence rates (Table 1). This population is divided almost equally between the Sahel region north of 10°N, and the highland areas in the east and south of the continent.

### Table 1. Estimated 2011 population (millions) living in identified endemic regions subject to high variability transmission one month or more per year, or epidemic regions. Regions are defined as north (latitude > 10°), south (latitude < −10°), central west (|latitude| < 10° and longitude < 20°) and central east (|latitude| < 10° and longitude > 20°)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Africa</th>
<th>north</th>
<th>south</th>
<th>central west</th>
<th>central east</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>epidemic</td>
<td>82.6</td>
<td>37.9</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>24.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>endemic</td>
<td>404</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>48.0</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 3. Locations of high variability in parasite prevalence are shown for each calendar month of the year, subdivided into endemic (hyper and holoendemic, red) and epidemic (hypo and mesoendemic, green) transmission zones.

It is in these zones that the indication of an outbreak by a reliable forecast could trigger a range of intervention strategies, depending on the status of the national malaria control program in question (control, elimination or post-elimination surveillance). It is emphasized that this assessment is only for the theoretical climate related variability of malaria and may not fully reflect the situation in reality. If control measures have led to local eradication, for example, then indication of heightened hazard due to climate anomalies may serve to tighten surveillance and response measures to imported cases in the region in question to prevent explosive growth of secondary cases (Moonen...
Likewise, this assessment obviously neglects zones prone to epidemics due to non-climatic factors such as forced population movements and breakdowns of health services due to conflict.

In addition to these epidemic zones, the map also reveals considerable areas with regular seasonal transmission but high variability in prevalence in certain months of the year, for example, in a band spanning the northern half of the Ivory Coast, Ghana, Togo and Benin in West Africa during April and May. In these regions malaria transmission variability is highest during the rain season onset (also in April/May at these latitudes) and is associated with the interannual fluctuations of the monsoon cycle which are highly variable from year to year (Sultan et al. 2003). In these hyperendemic zones, health services are likely to be geared up to deal with regular malaria transmission, unless funding shortages or breakdown of health structures preclude this. However, a skillful forecast could still be useful for ensuring timely mobilization of intervention actions involving insecticide-treated nets (ITN) and/or indoor residual spraying (IRS) to maximize their impact (Worrall et al. 2008; Beier et al. 2008; Yukich et al. 2008). There could be significant cost savings associated with such planning policy interventions when the considerable population of 400 million living in this area is considered (Table 1). Our subsequent analysis uses this analysis (Fig. 3) to exclude locations for each calendar month where climate is deemed less relevant for interannual malaria transmission variability.

b. Potential Predictability of Malaria

The skill of the forecast is examined for both the climate and malaria forecasts using the operational forecast system output for 2012. We analyze the forecasts of 2012, and the 18 years of hindcasts, giving an evaluation period of 1994 to 2012. Although ideally one would evaluate an ensemble system using probabilistic skill scores, the small ensemble size of the hindcast (5 members) prevents this and thus the analysis is made for the skill of the ensemble mean anomaly correlation (Murphy and Epstein 1989). Temperature and calibrated precipitation are validated against the ERA-Interim reanalyses. We identify the locations where skill in predicting malaria transmission is statistically significant one to four months in advance (referred to hereafter as ‘potential malaria prediction skill’) for each calendar month (Fig. 4). In addition to malaria, we show the skill in predicting anomalies of rainfall and temperature to identify which of these variables generate any identified malaria prediction skill.

Examining first the shorter range predictions one month in advance (Fig. 4 left column), encouragingly, there is model skill in malaria predictions in the target prediction zones throughout the calendar year. In some regions the predictability derives from correctly forecasting variations in temperature, but in southern Africa in a band stretching from Botswana through to Malawi and also across eastern Africa there are wide areas in which malaria predictive skill derives from both rainfall and temperature; for these the analysis does not show which variable contributes most to the skillful malaria prediction. In these regions rainfall predictability tends to be higher owing to stronger teleconnections with the El Niño phenomenon (Ropelewski and Halpert 1987). Outside of these regions, skill in precipitation prediction appears limited in the areas of interest for malaria forecasting, in broad agreement with studies using the predecessor of the seasonal forecast (system 3) (Tompkins and Feudale 2010; Vellinga et al. 2012). This is confirmed in the analysis of the first month rainfall skill compared to satellite retrievals conducted in the appendix.

In some locations the malaria forecasts are not significantly skillful, marked by a limited number of black points where predictions of all variables fail, or by blue, purple or red points which indicate skill in climate but not malaria prediction. In the northern-most Sahel belt spanning Senegal, Mali and Niger in July and August, wide areas display skill in temperature only (red colors) while in some points rainfall is also correctly predicted (purple colors) but no potential malaria prediction skill ensues. In this northern-most zone of the Sahel, rainfall variability and the northern extent of the monsoon limit malaria transmission (Thomson et al. 2004). Thus where rainfall predictions are inaccurate, a frequent short-coming in atmospheric models (Roehrig et al. 2013), malaria predictions will also fail. Where both rainfall and temperature are skillfully predicted, the failure to translate this into accurate malaria prediction could relate to the nonlinear relationship between transmission and rainfall, where intense rain events flush early stage larvae breeding sites (Paaijmans et al. 2007) and monsoon breaks lead to puddle desiccation (Gianotti et al. 2009). This nonlinearity is fully sampled by the high day-to-day variability of rainfall in the tropics, thus significant skill in predicting seasonal rainfall anomalies may not be sufficient if sub-seasonal rainfall variability is poorly represented.

Analyzing the potential malaria prediction skill for longer lead times of two to four months (Fig. 4, columns 2 to 4), it is seen that the climate prediction system exhibits a sharp drop in skill at predicting rainfall and temperature two months in advance compared to one month. Despite this, there are wide areas for which the pilot MEWS still has significant skill for malaria prediction in months 2 and 3, and in smaller regions even four months ahead. This is due to the inherent lags between the rainfall anomalies and the resulting malaria transmission season, such that the skill in predicting malaria transmission in the second and third month derives from the climate information contained in the forecast initial conditions and the first month forecast of climate. This highlights the crucial role that
Fig. 4. Composite plot of temperature (red), precipitation (blue) and malaria (ln(EIR), green) forecast anomaly correlation coefficients that are statistically skillful at the 95% confidence level for issuing warnings for 1 through 4 months in advance (lead time) for each calendar month of the year. White points mark cells with skill in all three variables, black points mark cells without any skill. See legend for color definitions of intersecting categories. (CONTINUED)
Fig. 4. cont.
of, for example, a national malaria control program. A full cost-loss analysis of suitable interventions is required to determine suitable thresholds for action, and thus the alert levels should be strictly viewed as indicative and illustrative.

The resulting timeseries (Fig. 5) is encouraging as it clearly shows that the major outbreak starting February 1998 is predicted four months ahead and is by far the most significant event predict predicted during the period 1995 to 2012, in qualitative agreement with the observations. In addition, all forecast lead times indicate lesser events occurring in 1995, 2002, 2005, 2006 and 2009/2010. However, on closer examination, it is clear that the timing of the predicted events is often inaccurate. While the main 1998 event is predicted to reach the highest alert level in February/March 1998, the event appears to last too long, with the alert level remaining throughout 1998. Likewise, the event in 2005 appears well predicted 4 months in advance, but is weaker and too early in the shorter lead time forecasts. High levels of transmission in 2006 appear to continue into 2007, which does not appear to be confirmed in the literature. Moreover, in general there is a tendency for epidemic alerts to occur earlier in time in the shorter range forecasts (for example, 2002, 2005, 2006/2007 and 2010). This could be related to a drift in the bias characteristics of the forecast precipitation which is presently not bias corrected in the system. In summary, while the system shows promise at predicting during which years epidemic conditions are likely to occur, there remains much to be done to improve the representation of sub-seasonal fluctuations in transmission, while again emphasizing the highly qualitative nature of this initial comparison which amalgamates all highland areas in Uganda and Western Kenya into a simple single index.

4. Discussion: Integrating climate information into health planning

We have introduced a pilot dynamical forecasting system for malaria that is the first available on a continental scale using the highest resolution monthly and seasonal ensemble prediction systems to drive a dynamical malaria model that is realistically initialized. These results have demonstrated the potential for skillful malaria predictions up to four months in advance over wide areas that were identified to have highly variable transmission for specific months of the year. This shows for the first time that climate forecasts may usefully extend the early warning available from environmental monitoring on a continental scale and reaffirms the potential importance of accurate climate information in Africa (Thomson et al. 2011). However, it is important to emphasize that this study is a first step, and is limited to identifying the potential skill in such a system exists. Actual skill of the operational forecasts will be lower...
due to the use of an imperfect malaria model, and uncertainties in health data will also reduced the assessed skill. In another sense, the assessment also represents a lower threshold of potential skill, since improvements in the climate and malaria observations and modelling systems will inexorably increase skill. As examples, Dutra et al. (2013) recently introduced a bias correction for analysis rainfall which dramatically improved seasonal forecast skill of a standardized precipitation index that will be introduced to the present system. Physics improvements to the forecast system (e.g. Jung et al. 2010) and malaria model will also increase skill over time. For the present system a preliminary analysis in the east African highlands indicated that the potential skill may translate into demonstrable skill at predicting real outbreaks. The next phase is underway, in collaboration with two health ministries in Africa, conducting a detailed evaluation of the system at the health district level. Such endeavors are complicated by the relatively short and often incomplete records of malaria cases available in most countries. While case confirmation percentages have improved through rapid diagnostic testkits (RDT) uptake (Zhao et al. 2012), there remains the need for improved clinical datasets for the comprehensive evaluation of MEWS required for their uptake. This interactive process will likely highlight areas of the malaria modelling system in need of further development.

There is also the need to consider the integration of forecast information into the present decision making process. Guidance is required on the forecast products most useful at district and national levels and also how to best communicate forecast uncertainty to decision makers to effectively complement existing planning strategy. Despite the wide evidence cited earlier that climate information could increase advance warnings and the cost-effectiveness of malaria interventions (Worrall et al. 2008), earlier demonstrations of MEWS based on climate monitoring have not been widely adopted in an operational environment to assist planning in African health ministries. To a large extent health decisions concerning drug distribution and interventions are still based on long-term mean malaria prevalence maps (Omumbo et al. 2013). The possible reasons for this are many, and include the fact that health ministries often follow the strategy of increasing the efficiency of disease monitoring systems in order to improve the reaction time to the onset of epidemics (DaSilva et al. 2004; Checchi et al. 2006). The operational paradigm of using climate or other information sources to predict outbreaks in advance is often unfamiliar. Moreover, using climate information to predict outbreaks implies the incorporation of uncertainty into the decision making process and the risk of costs associated with a prediction failure (termed a ’forecast miss’). The potential benefits of such information may be deemed to be outweighed by the perceived risk to the decision maker of implementing a superfluous and costly preemptive mitigation action based on incorrect or inaccurate guidance.
The above considerations serve to emphasize that integrating climate forecast information into the decision making process will require extensive, country-level evaluation of system past performance including cost-loss analysis of potential intervention actions taken on the basis of the information (Murphy 1977). To carry out such an analysis adequately, improvements in the representation of model uncertainty and increased ensembles sizes will be necessary, since the present system uses a single weather forecast ensemble system to drive a single malaria model. Additional climate forecasting systems and malaria models should improve the representation of model uncertainty (Hagedorn et al. 2005).

A further consideration is that appropriate actions based
on forecast information will also depend on a country’s malaria intervention phase (malaria control, progress towards elimination or post-elimination surveillance). While forecasts may have such use in guiding timely interventions in hyperendemic zones where earlier than usual malaria transmission is predicted, here the emphasis has been on epidemic regions. There, one can envisage forecast information aiding a wide range of district level management decisions in countries still in the phase of malaria control, such as ensuring adequate drug supply to clinics and re-assigning IRS teams to at-risk districts (Cox and Abeku 2007). District offices could also use forecast information to enhance information campaigns to vulnerable populations to increase bite-avoidance behaviors such as ensuring use of nets supplied in previous mass distribution campaigns, possibly through established local-level volunteer networks such as that of the international federation of the red cross. This may involve the combination of the malaria hazard forecasts with high spatial resolution modelling of population vulnerability (Thomson and Connor 2001) which can change dramatically over small spatial scales (Carter et al. 2000). An example of a decision support tool intended to serve such a purpose was recently presented by Kienberger and Hagenlocher (2014).

Consideration of societal vulnerability involves a plethora of different factors that require attention. One approach to consider these could be to divide the vulnerability factors into a 3-tier sequential process as depicted in the schematic of Fig. 6, which is based on the framework of Kienberger and Hagenlocher (2014). Given a local transmission intensity as measured by the EIR, the first ‘phase’ would be to consider an individual’s vulnerability to receiving an infectious bite, which entails their housing quality, clothing choice and their specific attractiveness to the mosquito vector (Burkot 1988; Lindsay et al. 1993; Knols et al. 1995). In the VECTRI dynamical malaria model this probability is considered equal for all members of society, but this is obviously an oversimplification. Furthermore, interventions such as IRS and the use of bednets are designed to greatly reduce an individual’s exposure, the second element that contributes to overall risk.

In the final links of the risk chain, the vulnerability of an individual relates to the probability of a infective bite leading to a clinical manifestation of the disease. This is primarily determined by the individual’s immune status, itself a function of previous exposure, in addition to age, presence of cross infection, nutrition or the state of pregnancy (Doolan et al. 2009). Thereafter, one must consider the coping ability of the individual, connected to their potential to receive adequate and timing treatment in the case of a serious manifestation of the disease. These indicators are primarily economic or poverty related, essentially determining the overall cost to the individual to obtain treatment for themselves or their children. Obviously, poverty ultimately determines many of the vulnerability factors in all three phases.

The schematic also underlines how both the transmission hazard and the societal vulnerability combine to determine the overall risk in a given location and thus the prevalence rate, which then affects future transmission hazard in a feedback due to the fully coupled nature of the system. This indicates that considering social vulnerability separately from hazard modelling in a so-called ’offline’ approach as often implemented in other fields may not be adequate and a fully integrated modelling system may be required to assess overall risk at the local level. A full consideration of the integration of climate information into health decision making processes will be the natural next step.

Fig. 6. Schematic of vulnerability considerations that could be considered as a 3-tier process when combining with a climate-driven malaria hazard forecast to determine local-scale population risk. The diagram emphasizes the coupled nature of the vector borne disease. By lowering the local parasite burden, interventions that reduce a population’s vulnerability also act to reduce subsequent climate-related hazard.
Appendix: Seamless weather and climate prediction

Most operational forecasting centers run multiple forecasting systems to provide climate information at the relevant lead time for the varying sectors (e.g., hydrology, energy, health, agriculture). Usually a short range high resolution forecast provides deterministic predictions for several days ahead, while ensembles of lower resolution, coupled models provide seasonal predictions up to 6 months or more in advance.

Some centers, such as ECMWF, bridge the gap between the two with an extended-range ensemble prediction system (Vitart et al. 2008). The system at ECMWF originally provided 51 forecasts for the next 32 days once per week each Thursday, which has recently been increased to a frequency of twice per week, and is referred to as the monthly system. Each 51-member ‘real-time’ forecast ensemble made in the present is matched with an additional set of forecast ensembles that start on the same day for each of the previous 18 years, referred to as hindcasts. For example, if a 4 month forecast (ensemble) is made starting on June 1st 2013, the system also runs an ensemble of hindcasts initialized on June 1st 1995, then June 1st 1996, and on to 2012. Due to the computational cost, the hindcast ensemble employs 5 members only, much less than the 51 members of the real-time forecast (and also somewhat less than the hindcast datasets available in the DEMETER and ENSEMBLES projects). This set of hindcasts allows the real-time predictions to be bias corrected and calibrated, since, although the ECMWF forecasting systems are considered state-of-the-art in terms of their predictive skill (Hagedorn et al. 2012), they are nevertheless subject to systematic biases.

In the development of the seasonal malaria forecast system, rather than using only the seasonal forecast system to drive the malaria model, it was decided to substitute this information with the monthly system for days 1 to 32 of the forecast. This is because the latter system is presumed to have superior forecast skill for climate parameters relative to the seasonal system for several reasons. The first is that the shorter forecast allows the monthly system to employ higher horizontal resolution which, statistically for many forecasts, has been shown to improve ECMWF model skill (e.g., Jung et al. 2006, 2012; Magnusson and Källén 2013). In addition to the higher resolution, another reason to employ the monthly system is that, in common with the 10 day deterministic forecast, it takes advantage of regular updates and improvements to the physics parameterizations and analysis systems. These occur three or four times per year and this implies that the system is always fully state-of-the-art (Jung et al. 2010). In contrast, the numerical cost of the hindcast suite prohibits this for the seasonal forecast system, which is updated only every 3 to 5 years. Thus, depending on the date of the most recent seasonal forecast system update, the physics and associated climate biases can be considerably different between the two systems. Last of all, but potentially the most important, is that the more frequent issuing of the monthly forecasts gives them a considerable lead time advantage. Using only the seasonal forecast system implies that forecast information can be updated at most once per month. Instead, use of the monthly system means that refreshed forecast information can be available at least once per week. A forecast issued in the last week of the month has at least a 3 week lead-time advantage over the most recent seasonal forecast, for example. It is noted that for the forecast start dates chosen in the present study (the first Thursday of each calendar month) this lead time effect is limited to approximately 3 days.

To demonstrate the difference in skill for the monthly system relative to the seasonal for day 1-32, we calculate the difference in anomaly correlation for temperature and precipitation for the 12 forecast dates used in this study. To do this, the exact 32 days from the seasonal forecast are extracted that match the monthly system. The rainfall is evaluated against FEWS ARC2 daily precipitation (T. Love 2002). Instead temperature is compared to ERA Interim reanalysis data Dee et al. (2011).

The temperature anomaly correlation lies in the range of 0.5 to 0.8 throughout most of Africa in the monthly system (Fig. 7a) which is statistically skillful. The increase in anomaly correlation gained by using the monthly system rather than the seasonal forecast system is considerable (Fig. 7b), with a positive impact seen throughout much of the continent, and the increase exceeding 10% in places. The rainfall skill is much lower as expected, with correlations of zero in regions of the central Sahel and throughout the Congo. The latter may not be simply due to a poor forecast, since the lack of in situ observations also leads to high uncertainties in retrieval products over this region (Washington et al. 2013). Correlations are higher over parts of southern Africa, and in particular for the horn of Africa region, approaching values of 0.5. This agrees with the conclusions drawn using era interim in the main analysis of this paper. It is noted that statistical models also have success in predicting seasonal rainfall in this region (Mutai et al. 1998; Philippon et al. 2002; Hastenrath et al. 2004), although their output can not be used to drive the dynamical disease models that require daily timescale input. For precipitation, the gain obtained by using the monthly system for the 12 start dates selected is less systematically positive than for temperature. Averaging for the region selected the gain is a more modest value of approximately 0.02.
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