

CONDENSED  
MATTER

# Spin-Glass Transition in a Kondo Lattice with Quenched Disorder<sup>1</sup>

M. N. Kiselev\*,\*\* and R. Oppermann\*,\*\*\*

\* *Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität Würzburg, D-97074 Würzburg, Germany*

\*\* *Russian Research Center Kurchatov Institute, pl. Kurchatova 1, Moscow, 123182 Russia*

\*\*\* *University of Oxford, Department of Physics, OX1 3NP Oxford, UK*

Received January 24, 2000; in final form, February 18, 2000

We use the Popov–Fedotov representation of spin operators to construct an effective action for a Kondo lattice model with quenched disorder at finite temperatures. We study the competition between the Kondo effect and frozen spin order in Ising-like spin glass. We present the derivation of new mean-field equations for the spin-glass order parameter and analyze the effects of screening of localized spins by conduction electrons on the spin-glass phase transition. © 2000 MAIK “Nauka/Interperiodica”.

PACS numbers: 75.20.Hr; 75.10.Nr; 75.30.Mb

One of the most interesting questions of physics of heavy-fermion compounds is the competition between Kondo screening of localized spins by conduction electrons (CE) and ordering of these spins due to Ruderman–Kittel–Kasuya–Yosida (RKKY) interaction (see, e.g., [1]). The screening is attributed to the Kondo effect, viz., the resonance scattering of an electron on a magnetic atom with simultaneous change of the spin projection. In dilute alloys such scattering results in a sharp resonance at the Fermi level with characteristic energy width  $\epsilon \sim T_K \sim \epsilon_F \exp(-\alpha^{-1})$ , where  $T_K$  is the Kondo temperature,  $J$  is the coupling constant,  $\rho$  is the density of states of CE at the Fermi level, and  $\alpha = \rho J$ . As was recently discussed (see, e.g., [2, 3]), such competition can be responsible for the non-Fermi-liquid behavior observed in some heavy-fermion compounds. Most of such materials share two characteristics: proximity to the magnetic region of an appropriate phase diagram (usually temperature vs. pressure or chemical composition) and disorder due to chemical substitution. In many respects, the concentrated Kondo systems, e.g., the lattice of magnetic atoms interacting with CE “bath” [Kondo lattice (KL)], show striking similarities to dilute Kondo systems. The Kondo temperature in these systems is a characteristic crossover temperature at which spins transform their local properties to some itinerant Fermi-liquid behavior determining the low-temperature regime of heavy-fermion compounds. Non-Fermi-liquid behavior in a heavy-fermion system is then mainly attributed to reducing the Kondo temperature and possibly even suppressing it to zero. In turn, the magnetic or spin glass (SG) transition can also be suppressed due to the interplay between Kondo scattering and spin–spin interaction. Thus, such an interplay

can result in a quantum phase transition [2] when both Kondo and magnetic temperatures are equal to zero at some finite doping. The role of chemical substitution in this case is to “tune” the Fermi level of a metallic system providing sharp Kondo resonance.

The problem of competition between the RKKY and Kondo interactions in a clean system was studied for the first time by Doniach [4] in the “Kondo necklace” model. The transition typically takes place between a paramagnetic metal and a magnetic (usually AFM) metal. In this case, there are two possibilities: the compound will have long-range magnetic order when the RKKY interaction is sufficiently large compared with the Kondo interaction, or the compound will be paramagnetic due to the quenching of magnetic moments of the rare earth atoms and the ground state has the features of a Kondo-singlet state. Nevertheless, in the region  $T_{\text{RKKY}}^M \sim T_K$  the competition between magnetic and Kondo interactions results in a dramatic change in the “naive” Doniach diagram (see [5]). Namely, both Kondo and magnetic temperatures are strongly suppressed and a spin-liquid state (e.g., of resonance valence bond type [6]) occurs.

The goal of this letter is to present some results concerning the competition between the Kondo effect and Ising-like SG transition, which is in many aspects similar to the magnetic instability. We study mechanisms of suppressing the SG transition and effects of screening in a disordered environment. In this paper, we consider the high-temperature regime of the KL model. We leave aside the issue of the ground-state properties and especially the question whether the non-Fermi-liquid behavior is a generic feature of vicinity to a quantum phase transition for a future publication.

<sup>1</sup> This article was submitted by the authors in English.

The Hamiltonian of the KL model with additional quenched randomness of exchange interaction between localized spins is given by

$$H_{KL} = \sum_{k\sigma} \epsilon_k c_{k\sigma}^\dagger c_{k\sigma} + J \sum_i \left( \mathbf{s}_i \mathbf{S}_i + \frac{1}{4} n_i N_i \right) - \sum_{ij} I_{ij} (S_i^z S_j^z + \lambda S_i^+ S_j^-). \quad (1)$$

The system under consideration is a periodic lattice of magnetic atoms modeled by  $f$  orbitals interacting with metallic background spin density operator  $\mathbf{s}_i = \frac{1}{2} c_{i\alpha}^\dagger \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha\alpha} c_{i\alpha}$ . The first term in Hamiltonian (1) describes the kinetic energy of CE, and the second stands for the Kondo coupling ( $J > 0$ ). We denote  $n_i = \sum_{\sigma} c_{i,\sigma}^\dagger c_{i,\sigma}$  as the CE density operator. The identity  $N_i = 1$  describes the half-filled  $f$ -electron shell. Quenched independent random variables  $I_{ij}$  with distribution  $P(I_{ij}) \sim \exp(-I_{ij}^2 N/2I^2)$  stand for direct spin–spin interaction [7]. We assume that this random interaction is of RKKY origin,<sup>2</sup> namely, for  $d$ -dimensional system  $I \sim \alpha^2 \epsilon_f l^{-d}$ , where  $l$  is the lattice constant in the magnetic sublattice. The magnetic effects can also be included in our approach by introducing the nonzero standard deviation  $\Delta I = \bar{I}_{\text{RKKY}}$  into the distribution  $P(I_{ij})$ , which, in turn, can result in additional competition between SG and AFM (or, rarely, FM) states. For simplicity, we neglect these effects in this letter and concentrate on the interplay between the Kondo interaction and the effects of bond disorder. Since the indirect RKKY interaction through CE is mostly determined by “fast” electrons with characteristic energies  $\epsilon \sim \epsilon_F \gg T_K$ , we also neglect the Kondo renormalizations of RKKY exchange.

As has been well known for a long time, the spin  $S = 1/2$  matrices can be exactly replaced by bilinear combination of Fermi operators

$$S_i^z = \frac{1}{2} (f_{i\uparrow}^\dagger f_{i\uparrow} - f_{i\downarrow}^\dagger f_{i\downarrow}),$$

$$S_i^+ = f_{i\uparrow}^\dagger f_{i\downarrow}, \quad S_i^- = f_{i\downarrow}^\dagger f_{i\uparrow}.$$

Nevertheless, most fermionic representations of spin are not free of constraint problem. For this reason, the dimensionality of space in which these operators act is always greater than the dimensionality of the spin matrices. Elimination of unphysical states is a serious problem which makes the diagrammatic techniques quite complicated. Moreover, in most cases, the ana-

lytic continuation of Feynman diagrams becomes extremely difficult. To avoid the main difficulties related to constraint, the new representation for spin operators was proposed in the long-forgotten paper of Popov and Fedotov [9]. In this representation the partition function of the problem containing spin operators ( $H_S$ ) can be easily expressed in terms of new fermions with imaginary chemical potential ( $H_S^f$ ):

$$Z_S = \text{Tr} e^{-\beta H_S} = i^N \text{Tr} \exp \{ -\beta (H_S^f + i\pi N_f/2\beta) \},$$

$$N_f = \sum_{i\sigma} f_{i\sigma}^\dagger f_{i\sigma}, \quad \beta = 1/T.$$

As a result, there is no constraint, the unphysical states are eliminated, and the standard Matsubara–Abrikosov–Gor’kov diagrammatic technique is obtained [9–11].

We sketch our derivation of the effective action and of resulting mean-field equations for the KL model in order to make explicit the approximations adopted and the physics underlying these approximations. To construct the path-integral representation for the partition function, the new Grassmann variables  $c_{i\alpha}^\dagger \rightarrow \bar{\Psi}_{i\sigma}$ ,  $c_{i\sigma} \rightarrow \Psi_{i\sigma}$  for CE with chemical potential  $\mu$  and  $f_{i\alpha}^\dagger \rightarrow \bar{a}_{i\sigma}$ ,  $f_{i\alpha} \rightarrow a_{i\alpha}$  for Popov–Fedotov spin operators ( $S = 1/2$ ) are introduced. The Euclidean action for the KL model is given by

$$\mathcal{A} = \int_0^\beta d\tau \left( \sum_{i\alpha} [\bar{\Psi}_{i\alpha}(\tau) (\partial_\tau + \mu) \Psi_{i\alpha}(\tau) + \bar{a}_{i\alpha}(\tau) (\partial_\tau - i\pi T/2) a_{i\alpha}(\tau)] - H_{int}(\tau) \right), \quad (2)$$

where the generalized Grassmann fields satisfy the following boundary conditions:  $\Psi_{i\alpha}(\beta) = -\Psi_{i\alpha}(0)$ ,  $\bar{\Psi}_{i\alpha}(\beta) = -\bar{\Psi}_{i\alpha}(0)$ ,  $a_{i\alpha}(\beta) = ia_{i\alpha}(0)$ ,  $\bar{a}_{i\alpha}(\beta) = -i\bar{a}_{i\alpha}(0)$ .

In this paper, we consider  $\lambda = 0$ , which corresponds to the Sherrington–Kirkpatrick [12] spin-glass model. Such an anisotropy of RKKY interaction can be associated, e.g., with lattice geometry. In the case of the Ising-like model, the dynamical fluctuations in the spin subsystem appear only due to the interaction with conduction electrons and, in the high temperature regime  $T \sim T_{\text{SG}}$ , can be neglected. To study the influence of Kondo scattering on the SG transition temperature  $T_{\text{SG}}$ , we use standard replica trick  $\Psi_i(\tau) \rightarrow v_i^a(\tau)$ ,  $a_i(\tau) \rightarrow \varphi_i^a(\tau)$ ,  $a = 1, \dots, n$ . Then the free energy of the model

<sup>2</sup> It has been pointed out in [8] that the presence of nonmagnetic impurities makes the RKKY interaction a random interaction even in the case of regular arrangement of magnetic moments.

can be calculated (see, e.g., [13]) by taking the formal limit  $n \rightarrow 0$  in

$$\langle Z^n \rangle_{av} = \prod_{ij} \int dI_{ij} P(I_{ij}) \prod D[\varphi_{i,\sigma}^a, v_{i,\sigma}^a] \times \exp\left(\mathcal{A}_0[v^a, \varphi^a] - \int_0^\beta d\tau H_{int}(\tau)\right), \quad (3)$$

where  $\mathcal{A}_0$  corresponds to noninteracting fermions.

As we already mentioned, to consider the competition between the Kondo scattering and the trend of disorder, we assume that the magnetic temperature  $T_{\text{RKKY}}^M \ll T^*$ , where  $T^*$  stands for a characteristic temperature corresponding to the Kondo temperature in the lattice. This assumption allows one to decouple the Kondo interaction term  $H_i^K = -\frac{J}{2} \bar{v}_{i,\sigma}^a \varphi_{i,\sigma}^a \bar{\varphi}_{i,\sigma'}^a v_{i,\sigma'}^a$  in each site by the replica-dependent Hubbard–Stratonovich field  $\psi_i^a$  [14]. Performing the average over the random potential in (3) results in

$$\langle Z^n \rangle_{av} = \prod \int D[v^a, \varphi^a, \psi^a] \exp\left(\mathcal{A}_0 + \frac{I^2}{4N} \text{Tr}[X^2] + \int_0^\beta d\tau \sum_{i,a,\sigma} \left\{ \psi_i^a \bar{v}_{i,\sigma}^a \varphi_{i,\sigma}^a + \psi_i^{a*} \bar{\varphi}_{i,\sigma}^a v_{i,\sigma}^a - \frac{2}{J} |\psi_i^a|^2 \right\}\right) \quad (4)$$

with

$$X^{ab}(\tau, \tau') = \sum_i \sum_{\sigma, \sigma'} \bar{\varphi}_{i,\sigma}^a(\tau) \sigma \varphi_{i,\sigma}^a(\tau) \bar{\varphi}_{i,\sigma'}^b(\tau') \sigma' \varphi_{i,\sigma'}^b(\tau').$$

The next step is to perform the Gaussian integration over the replica-dependent Grassmann field  $v^a$  describing CE and to decouple the eight-fermion term  $\text{Tr}[X^2]$  with the help of Q matrices (see details in [10]). As a result, the partition function is given by

$$\langle Z^n \rangle_{av} = \int D[Q] \exp\left(-\frac{1}{4}(\beta I)^2 N \text{Tr}[Q^2] + \sum_i \ln \left\{ \prod \int D[\varphi^a, \psi^a] \times \exp\left[\sum_a \sum_{\{\omega\}} \bar{\varphi}_{i,\sigma}^a \mathcal{G}_a^{-1} \varphi_{i,\sigma}^a + \frac{1}{2}(\beta I)^2 \text{Tr}[QX]\right]\right\}\right), \quad (5)$$

where  $\mathcal{G}_a^{-1}$  is the inverse Green's function for Popov–Fedotov fermions depending on Matsubara frequencies

$\omega_n = 2\pi T(n + 1/4)$  (see details in [9]),

$$\mathcal{G}_a^{-1} = i\omega_n \delta_{\omega_n, \omega_{n_1}, \omega_{n_2}} - T \sum_{\epsilon} \psi_i^{a*}(\epsilon_l + \omega_{n_1}) \times G_0(-i\nabla_i, \epsilon_l) \psi_i^a(\epsilon_l + \omega_{n_2}), \quad (6)$$

and  $G_0(-i\nabla, \epsilon_l) = (i\epsilon_l - \epsilon(-i\nabla) + \mu)^{-1}$  stands for the CE Green's function  $\epsilon_l = 2\pi T(l + 1/2)$ .

We are still left with a term of fourth order residing in  $\text{Tr}[QX]$  and cannot evaluate the Grassmann integral directly. Consequently, a second decoupling is needed. To perform it, we stress that we do not intend to deal with dynamical behavior here and confine ourselves by high temperature regime in the vicinity of the SG transition such that the lowest Matsubara frequency is sufficient. Assuming this and recalling that the spatial fluctuations are suppressed by the choice of infinite-range interaction [12], one can consider  $Q$  as a constant saddle-point matrix under condition  $Q = Q^T$ . The elements of this matrix will later be determined self-consistently from the saddle-point condition. Assuming that the elements of  $Q$  are  $Q_{SP}^{aa} = \tilde{q}$  and  $Q_{SP}^{a \neq b} = q$ , one can decouple the  $\text{Tr}[QX]$  term by introducing replica-independent  $z$  and replica-dependent  $y^a$  fields and map the KL problem with disorder onto an effective one-site interacting spin system coupled to an external local replica-dependent magnetic field:

$$\langle Z^n \rangle_{av} = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{4}(\beta I)^2 N(n\tilde{q}^2 + n(n-1)q^2) + \sum_i \ln \left[ \prod \int D[\varphi^a, \psi^a] \int \int_{z, y^a} \exp(\mathcal{A}[\varphi^a, \psi^a, y^a, z]) \right]\right), \quad (7)$$

where  $\int_z^G f(z)$  denotes  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz / \sqrt{2\pi} \exp(-z^2/2) f(z)$ ,

$$\mathcal{A}[\varphi^a, \psi^a, y^a, z] = \sum_{a,\sigma} \bar{\varphi}_\sigma^a [\mathcal{G}_a^{-1} - \sigma H(y^a, z)] \varphi_\sigma^a - \frac{2}{J} \sum_{\omega} |\psi^a(\omega)|^2 \quad (8)$$

and  $H(y^a, z) = I\sqrt{q}z + I\sqrt{\tilde{q}-q}y^a$  is the effective local magnetic field. Note that the variable  $q = \langle S_i^a S_i^b \rangle$  corresponds to the Edwards–Anderson SG order parameter when the limit  $n \rightarrow 0$  is taken. Nevertheless, the diagonal element  $\tilde{q}$  can be set to neither zero nor one, in contrast to the classical Ising glass theory, because of dynamical effects due to the interaction with the CE bath. To take into account this interaction, we include a replica-dependent magnetic field into the bare Green's function  $\mathcal{G}_{0\sigma}^a = (i\omega_n - \sigma H(y^a, z))^{-1}$  and perform the inte-

gration over Popov–Fedotov Grassmann variables with the help of the expression

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr} \ln(\mathcal{G}_a^{-1} - \sigma H) &= \ln(2 \cosh(\beta H)) \\ + \text{Tr} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m+1}}{m} (\mathcal{G}_{0\sigma}^a(H) \Sigma(\psi^a))^m, \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where  $\Sigma(\psi^a) = -T \sum_{\epsilon} \psi_i^{a*} (\epsilon + \omega_{n_1}) G_0(-i\nabla_i, \epsilon) \psi_i^a (\epsilon + \omega_{n_2})$  depends on the variable  $\psi$  “responsible” for Kondo interaction. Calculating the first term in expansion (9), one gets the following expression for the effective “bosonic” action in the one-loop approximation:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}[\psi^a, H] &= \ln(2 \cosh(\beta H(y^a, z))) \\ - \frac{2}{J} \sum_n [1 - J\Pi(i\Omega_n, H(y^a, z))] |\psi^a|^2 - O(|\psi^a|^4). \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

The polarization operator  $\Pi$  in the limit  $T, H \ll \epsilon_F$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} &\Pi(i\Omega_n, H) \\ &= -\beta^{-1} \sum_{n, \mathbf{k}, \sigma} G_0(\mathbf{k}, i\epsilon_n + i\Omega_n) \mathcal{G}_{0\sigma}(i\epsilon_n, H) \\ &\xrightarrow{\Omega_n=0} \rho(0) \left[ \ln \left( \frac{\epsilon_F}{\sqrt{H^2 + \pi^2 \beta^{-2}/4}} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\pi}{2 \cosh(\beta H)} + O\left(\frac{H^2}{\epsilon_F^2}\right) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

When  $H = 0$ , the coefficient in front of  $|\psi^a|^2$  in Eq. (10) changes its sign at  $T^* \sim \epsilon_F \exp(-\alpha^{-1})$ . This is a manifestation of the single-impurity Kondo effect (see, e.g., [14, 15]).

One can now perform the Gaussian integration over  $\psi^a$  fields in Eq. (7) by the stationary phase method:

$$\begin{aligned} &\int D[\psi^a] \exp(\delta \mathcal{A}[\psi^a]) \\ &= \exp(-\text{Tr} \ln[1 - J\Pi(i\Omega_n, H(y^a, z))]). \end{aligned}$$

After the last step, namely, integration over replica-dependent field  $y^a$ , the limit  $n \rightarrow 0$  can be taken. The free energy per site  $f = \beta^{-1} \lim_{n \rightarrow 0} (1 - \langle Z^n \rangle_{av})/nN$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \beta f(\tilde{q}, q) &= \frac{1}{4} (\beta I)^2 (\tilde{q}^2 - q^2) \\ &- \int_z \ln \left( \int_y \frac{2 \cosh(\beta H(y, z))}{1 - J\Pi(0, H(y, z))} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

New equations for  $q, \tilde{q}$  are determined by conditions  $\partial f(\tilde{q}, q)/\partial \tilde{q} = 0, \partial f(\tilde{q}, q)/\partial q = 0$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} (\beta I)^2 \tilde{q} &= \int_z \frac{\partial \ln \mathcal{F}}{\partial \tilde{q}}, \quad \frac{1}{2} (\beta I)^2 q = - \int_z \frac{\partial \ln \mathcal{F}}{\partial q}, \\ \mathcal{F} &= \int_y \frac{2 \cosh(\beta H(y, z))}{1 - J\Pi(0, H(y, z))}. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Eqs. (12), (13) contain the key result of the paper. They represent the solution of the KL problem with quenched disorder on a replica symmetrical level. To demonstrate some interesting physical effects described by these equations, let us consider the case  $T \sim T_{SG} \geq T^*$  (Kondo high-temperature limit). Since  $H(y^a, z)$  is a dynamical variable, we break the parametrical region of  $H$  to several pieces. First, when  $H \gg T, T^*$ , the logarithm in Eq. (11) is cut by  $H$  and there are no temperature-dependent Kondo corrections to the mean field equations. This corresponds to the limit  $T^* \ll I$  providing frozen spins and preventing them from resonance scattering.<sup>3</sup> Nevertheless, when  $T^* \sim I$ , the region  $H \leq T$  becomes very important. We calculate  $\mathcal{F}$  expanding the rhs of Eq. (12) up to  $(H/T)^2$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \ln(C \mathcal{F}_{z, \tilde{q}, q}) &= -\frac{1}{2} \ln(1 + \gamma u^2 r^2) + \frac{u^2 r^2 - q \gamma z^2}{2(1 + \gamma u^2 r^2)} \\ &+ \ln \left[ \cosh \left( \frac{u z \sqrt{q}}{1 + \gamma u^2 r^2} \right) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

We use the following shorthand notations:  $u = \beta I, \gamma = 2c/\ln(T/T^*), r^2 = \tilde{q} - q$ , and  $C = 2c\alpha/\gamma$  with  $c = \pi/4 + 2/\pi^2 \sim 1$ . We note again that when  $J = 0$ , which corresponds to the absence of Kondo interaction,

$$\mathcal{F}(z, \tilde{q}, q) = \exp\left(\frac{1}{2} (\beta I)^2 (\tilde{q} - q)\right) \cosh(\beta I z \sqrt{q})$$

and the standard Sherrington–Kirkpatrick equation [12] takes place, providing, e.g., an exact identity  $\tilde{q} = 1$ .

In the vicinity of the phase-transition point, Eq. (13) reads

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{q} &= 1 - \frac{2c}{\ln(T/T^*)} + O\left(\frac{1}{\ln^2(T/T^*)}\right), \\ q &= \int_z \tanh^2 \left( \frac{\beta I z \sqrt{q}}{1 + 2c(\beta I)^2 (\tilde{q} - q)/\ln(T/T^*)} \right) \\ &+ O\left(\frac{q}{\ln^2(T/T^*)}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

<sup>3</sup> We also note that when  $T^* \gg I$  the SG transition does not happen.

These equations describe a second-order transition in an SG Ising-like Sherrington–Kirkpatrick<sup>4</sup> system coupled with a CE bath in the presence of Kondo scattering. Taking the limit  $q \rightarrow 0$ , we estimate the temperature of SG transition  $(T_{SG}/I)^2 = 1 - 4c/\ln(T_{SG}/T^*) - \dots < 1$ . Thus, the Kondo-scattering resonance results in depression of the SG-transition temperature due to the screening effects in the same way as magnetic moments and one-site susceptibility are screened in the single-impurity Eq. Kondo problem [15]. This screening shows up at large time scale  $t \geq 1/T^*$  and affects both diagonal and nondiagonal elements of the  $Q$  matrix. Moreover,  $\tilde{q}$  becomes partially screened well above the SG transition point. Recalling that  $H \sim Iy\sqrt{\tilde{q}}$ , one can see that our assumption  $H/T \leq 1$  is consistent with Eq. (15) even if  $T \sim T_{SG}$ . It is necessary to note that a growing SG order parameter in Eqs. (10)–(11) suppresses the Kondo effect and also provides a broader validity domain for Eq. (15). We leave the self-consistent analysis of Eqs. (12), (15) for a future detailed publication.

In conclusion, we have considered the Kondo high-temperature limit (in a sense of  $T > T^*$ ) of a KL model with quenched disorder. We derived new mean field equations for the SG transition in the presence of strong Kondo scattering and have shown that the partial screening of both diagonal and nondiagonal elements of the  $Q$  matrix takes place. As a result, the temperature of SG transition is strongly suppressed when Ising and Kondo interactions are of the same order of magnitude.

We thank F. Bouis, B. Coqblin, K. Kikoin, and P. Pfeuty for useful discussions. This work is supported by the SFB410 (II-VI semiconductors). One of us

<sup>4</sup>When an Ising system described by Eq. (1) with nearest neighbor interaction is treated with the mean-field theory, equations identical to Eq. (13) are obtained with  $\sqrt{Z}I$  replacing  $I$ , where  $Z$  is the average number of neighbors.

(MNK) is grateful to the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation for support during his stay in Germany.

## REFERENCES

1. N. Grewe and F. Steglich, in *Handbook of the Physics and Chemistry of Rare Earths*, Ed. by K. A. Gschneider, Jr., and L. Eyring (Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1991), Vol. 14, p. 343.
2. A. Rosch, A. Schröder, O. Stockert, and H. V. Löhneysen, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **79**, 159 (1997).
3. A. Schröder, G. Aeppli, E. Bucher, *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **80**, 5623 (1998).
4. S. Doniach, *Physica B* (Amsterdam) **91**, 231 (1977).
5. K. A. Kikoin, M. N. Kiselev, and A. S. Mishchenko, *Pis'ma Zh. Éksp. Teor. Fiz.* **60**, 583 (1994) [*JETP Lett.* **60**, 600 (1994)]; *Zh. Éksp. Teor. Fiz.* **112**, 729 (1997) [*JETP* **85**, 399 (1997)]; J. R. Iglesias, C. Lacroix, and B. Coqblin, *Phys. Rev. B* **56**, 11820 (1997).
6. P. Coleman and N. Andrei, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **1**, 4057 (1989).
7. S. Sachdev, N. Read, and R. Oppermann, *Phys. Rev. B* **52**, 10286 (1995); A. Sengupta and A. Georges, *ibid.* **52**, 10295 (1995).
8. A. Yu. Zyuzin and B. Z. Spivak, *Pis'ma Zh. Éksp. Teor. Fiz.* **43**, 185 (1986) [*JETP Lett.* **43**, 234 (1986)]; L. N. Bulaevskii and S. V. Panyukov, *Pis'ma Zh. Éksp. Teor. Fiz.* **43**, 190 (1986) [*JETP Lett.* **43**, 240 (1986)].
9. V. N. Popov and S. A. Fedotov, *Zh. Éksp. Teor. Fiz.* **94**, 193 (1988) [*Sov. Phys. JETP* **67**, 535 (1988)].
10. R. Oppermann and A. Muller-Groeling, *Nucl. Phys. B* **401**, 507 (1993).
11. F. Bouis and M. Kiselev, *Physica B* (Amsterdam) **259–261**, 195 (1999).
12. D. Sherrington and S. Kirkpatrick, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **35**, 1972 (1975).
13. K. Binder and A. P. Young, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **58**, 801 (1986).
14. N. Read and D. M. Newns, *J. Phys. C* **16**, 3273 (1983).
15. A. M. Tsvetlik and P. B. Wiegmann, *Adv. Phys.* **32**, 453 (1983).