Criticality, shocks and avalanches in spin glasses

Markus Müller



The Abdus Salam International Center of Theoretical Physics

In collaboration with Pierre Le Doussal (LPT-ENS Paris) Kay Wiese (LPT-ENS Paris)



CM Seminar ICTP, 25th February, 2010

Outline

- Crackling, avalanches, and "shocks" in disordered, non-linear systems;
 Self-organized criticality
- Avalanches in the magnetizing process ("Barkhausen noise")
- The criticality of spin glasses at equilibrium why to expect scale free avalanches
- Magnetization avalanches in the Sherrington-Kirkpatrick spin glass – an analytical study.
- Outlook: finite dimensions, electron glasses,...

Crackling

Review: Sethna, Dahmen, Myers, Nature **410**, 242 (2001).

Crackling = Response to a slow driving which occurs in a discrete set of avalanches, spanning a wide range of sizes.

Occurs often but not necessarily only out of equilibrium.

Examples:

- Earthquakes
- Crumpling paper
- Vortices and vortex lattices in disordered media etc.
- Disordered magnet in a changing external field magnetizes in a series of jumps

But: Not everything crackles!

It is intermediate between snapping (e.g., twigs, chalk, weakly disordered ferromagnets, nucleation in clean systems) and popping (e.g., popcorn, strongly disordered ferromagnets)

Crackling on all scales is generally a signature of a critical state in driven, non-linear systems. It can thus be an interesting *diagnostic tool*.

Examples of crackling I

• Gutenberg-Richter law for strength of earthquakes (jumps of driven tectonic plates)



Examples of crackling II

• Depinning of elastic interfaces

Liquid fronts, domain walls, charge density waves, vortex lattices:



Depinning as a dynamical critical phenomenon in disordered glassy systems Sophisticated theoretical approach: functional RG [D. Fisher, LeDoussal, etc]

Statistics of avalanches: - mean field theory

- recent first steps and successes with FRG
- find non-trivial critical power laws (without scale)

Examples of crackling III

• Power laws due to self-organized criticality: Dynamics is attracted to a critical state, without fine-tuning of parameters

Example: sandpile model by Bak, Tang, and Wiesenfeld





Magnetic systems

- Crackling noise in the hysteresis loop: "Barkhausen noise"
- When does crackling occur in random magnets, and why?

• What happens in frustrated spin glasses (as opposed to just dirty ferromagnets)?



Equilibrium avalanches in the hysteresis reflect criticality of the glass phase! Noise as a diagnostic of a critical glass state?

Avalanches in ferromagnetic films

Direct Observation of Barkhausen Avalanche in (ferro) Co Thin Films

Kim, Choe, and Shin (PRL 2003)



FIG. 3 (color). Distributions of the Barkhausen jump size in 25 and 50-nm Co samples. Distributions in 5, 10, and 50-nm Co samples are shown in the insets. Fitting curve with $\tau = 1.33$ is denoted at each graph.

Distribution of magnetization jumps

$$P(s) = \frac{A}{s^{\tau}}$$
$$\tau = \frac{4}{3}$$

Cizeau et al.: Theoretical model with **dipolar long range interactions** (believed to be crucial to get criticality)

Model ferromagnets

Dahmen, Sethna Vives, Planes

Random field Ising model (short range):

$$H = -J\sum_{\langle ij\rangle} s_i s_j - \sum_i h_i s_i - h_{ext} \sum_i s_i$$

• Generically non-critical

• Scale free avalanches require fine tuning of disorder $\Delta = \langle h_i^2 \rangle$ and field $h_{ext,crit}$

Experiment:

(*T* tunes effective disorder)

Experiment (disordered ferro) Berger et al. (2000)



FIG. 1. M(H) loops measured on a Co/CoO-bilayer structure for the temperatures indicated. The thin lines are guides to the eye.

Why is the random Ising model generally non-critical?

Pazmandi, Zarand, Zimanyi (PRL 1999):

Elastic manifolds and ferromagnets with dipolar interactions:

They have strong frustration:

- long range interactions with varying signs and/or
- strong configurational constraints

In contrast: RFIM is known not to have a spin glass phase (Krzakala, Ricci-Tersenghi, Zdeborova)

 \rightarrow

Look at spin glasses! (Frustration + disorder = glass and criticality!?)

SK criticality

VOLUME 83, NUMBER 5

PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS

2 AUGUST 1999

Self-Organized Criticality in the Hysteresis of the Sherrington-Kirkpatrick Model

Ferenc Pázmándi,^{1,2,3} Gergely Zaránd,^{1,3} and Gergely T. Zimányi¹

Canonical spin glass model: Sherrington-Kirkpatrick (SK) model - fully connected

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} J_{ij} s_i s_j - h_{ext} \sum_i s_i, \quad J_{ij} : \text{ random Gaussian } \overline{J_{ij}^2} = J^2/N$$

• Extremely intricate mean field version of the Edwards-Anderson model in finite dimensions (but $d_{uc} = 6$)

- Known facts.
- There is a thermodynamic transition at T_c to a glass phase:
- no global magnetization, but broken Ising symmetry: $\langle s_i \rangle \neq 0$, measured by Edwards Anderson order parameter $Q_{EA} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_i \langle s_i \rangle^2$
- Multitude of metastable states, separated by barriers
- Correct equilibrium solution by G. Parisi : Replica symmetry breaking
- Glass phase is always critical! (Kondor, DeDominicis)

SK criticality – local fields

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} J_{ij} s_i s_j - h_{ext} \sum_i s_i$$

Local field on spin *i*:

$$\lambda_i \equiv -\frac{\partial H}{\partial s_i} = -\sum_{j \neq i} J_{ij} s_j + h_{ex}$$

Thouless, Anderson and Palmer, (1977); Palmer and Pond (1979) Parisi (1979), Bray, Moore (1980) Sommers and Dupont (1984) Dobrosavljevic, Pastor (1999) Pazmandi, Zarand, Zimanyi (1999) MM, Pankov (2007)



Linear "Coulomb" gap in the distribution of local fields

A first indication of criticality!

The linear pseudogap in SK

Thouless (1977)

Stability of ground state with respect to flipping of a pair:

The distribution of local fields must vanish at $\lambda=0$ at T = 0!

- Suppose pseudogap $P(\lambda) \propto \lambda^{\gamma}$
- \rightarrow Smallest local fields $\lambda_{\min} \propto N^{-1/1+\gamma}$
- 2-spin flip cost

$$E_{\cos t} \propto |\lambda_1| + |\lambda_2| - N^{-1/2} \sim N^{-1/1+\gamma} - N^{-1/2} \stackrel{!}{>} 0$$

 $\gamma \ge 1 \rightarrow \text{At least linear pseudogap!}$

• But: γ = 1! Largest possible density of soft spins!

Distribution is critical so that flipping the first spin by an increase of $\Delta h_{ext} = \lambda_{min}$ can trigger a large avalanche!





"Living on the edge"

Pazmandi, Zarand, Zimanyi (1999)



Size distribution of avalanches:

- Avalanches are large
- Only cutoff: system size (N^{1/2})
- Power law: Sign of Self-Organized Criticality

Review: Criticality and RSB



Parisi ansatz for the saddle point:

Hierarchical replica symmetry breaking

 $Q_{ab} =$



Parisi (1979)

SK criticality

Important features of the solution in the glass phase:

- The free energy functional is only marginally stable! \rightarrow Full family of zero modes of the Hessian $\frac{\partial^2 A}{\partial Q_{ab} \partial Q_{ad}}$
- ↔ Critical spin-spin correlations in the whole glass phase! Found numerically also in finite dimensions!

$$\overline{\left\langle s_i s_j \right\rangle^2} \sim \frac{1}{r_{ij}^{\alpha}}$$

- Hierarchical structure of phase space and time scales
- Replica symmetry is broken continuously (at all scales) A continuous function Q(x), n<x<1, parametrizes Q_{ab}
- Marginality is directly related to the linear pseudogap The pseudogap can be calculated analytically at low T *(Pankov)*







After so much critical preparation:

- Understand shocks in spin glasses
- Calculate avalanche distribution analytically!
- Confirm the direct connection of scale free avalanches and thermodynamic criticality!

Stepwise response and shocks in spin glass models

Yoshino, Rizzo (2008)

p-spin models [physics similar as in supercooled liquids]
no continuous, but only 1-step Replica Symmetry Breaking

 \rightarrow Glassy, but much simpler and non-critical

Free energy of metastable state α : $F_{\alpha}(h) = F_{\alpha}(h=0) - hM_{\alpha}$ Equilibrium jump/shock when two states cross: $F_{\alpha}(h_{shock}) = F_{\beta}(h_{shock})$



Mesoscopic effect: Susceptibility has spikes and does not self-average!

Detecting shocks

 2^{nd} cumulant of the magnetization (T = 0)

Yoshino, Rizzo (2008)

$$\overline{M(h+\delta h)M(h-\delta h)-M(h)^2} \propto |\delta h|$$
Non-analytic cusple

Non-analytic cusp!

• Reflects the probability of shocks.

• The non-analyticity is rounded at finite T.

Closely related effects:

• Functional renormalization group for collectively pinned elastic manifolds (e.g. vortex lattices): Cusp in force correlator $f(u+\delta u)f(u-\delta u) - f(u)^2 \propto |\delta u|$ as a function of center of mass displacement

• Analogy in Turbulence: Shocks in the velocity field v(x) D. Fisher (1986) LeDoussal, Wiese Balents, Bouchaud, Mézard LeDoussal, MM, Wiese

Bouchaud, Mézard, Parisi How to obtain shocks and their distribution for the SK model?

Strategy of calculation

kth cumulant of magnetization difference

$$\overline{[M(h) - M(h + \delta h)]^k} = \operatorname{Prob}(\operatorname{shock} \in [h, h + \delta h]) \overline{\Delta M_{\operatorname{shock}}^k}^h + O\left(\delta h^2\right)$$

Shock density

$$\operatorname{Prob}(\operatorname{shock} \in [h, h + \delta h]) = \frac{\rho_0}{|\delta h|}$$

Avalanche size cumulants

$$\overline{\Delta M_{shock}^{k}}^{h} = \int_{0}^{\infty} d\Delta M P(\Delta M; h) \Delta M^{k}$$
 \checkmark Calculate $[M(h) - M(h + \delta h)]^{k} \rightarrow \rho_{0}, P(\Delta M; h)$ Natural scales: $\delta h \sim \lambda_{\min} \sim N^{-1/2}$ Distance between shocks $\Delta M \sim \chi N \Delta h \sim N^{1/2}$ Magnetization jumps

Strategy of calculation
Calculate
$$\overline{[M(h) - M(h + \delta h)]^k} \rightarrow \rho_0$$
, $P(\Delta M; h)$
 $\overline{M(h_1) \dots M(h_k)} = (-1)^k \partial_{h_1} \dots \partial_{h_k} \overline{F(h_1) \dots F(h_k)}$
Calculate effective potential of n replicas:
 $\exp [W[\{h_a\}]] := \exp \left[-\beta \sum_{a=1}^n F(h_a)\right]^J$
 $= \exp \left[-\beta \sum_{a=1}^n \overline{F(h_a)}^J + \frac{\beta^2}{2} \sum_{a,b=1}^n \overline{F(h_a)F(h_b)}^{J,c} - \frac{\beta^3}{3!} \sum_{a,b,c=1}^n \overline{F(h_a)F(h_b)F(h_c)}^{J,c} + ...\right]$
 $= \sum_{\{S_h^c\}} \int \prod_{a \neq b} dQ_{ab} \prod_i \exp \left[nN \frac{\beta^2 J^2}{2} + \beta^2 J^2 \sum_{a \neq b} \left(-\frac{N}{2}Q_{ab}^2 + Q_{ab}S_a^iS_b^i\right) + \sum_a \beta h_a S_a^i\right].$
 $N \rightarrow \infty$ limit: i) Rescale $h_a = \tilde{h}_a / \sqrt{N}$

ii) Saddle point Q_{ab} , sum over replica permutations! $Q_{ab} = \exp[W[h] - W[0]] = \sum_{\pi} \exp\left[\frac{\beta^2}{2} \sum_{ab} Q_{ab} \tilde{h}_{\pi(a)} \tilde{h}_{\pi(b)} + \frac{\beta^2}{2} \sum_{a} \tilde{h}_a^2 (1 - Q_{aa})\right] / \sum_{\pi} 1$

Calculation

Sum over replica permutations π in S(n) [a real challenge!]

$$\exp[W[h] - W[0]] = \sum_{\pi} \exp\left[\frac{\beta^2}{2} \sum_{ab} Q_{ab} \tilde{h}_{\pi(a)} \tilde{h}_{\pi(b)} + \frac{\beta^2}{2} \sum_{a} \tilde{h}_a^2 (1 - Q_{aa})\right] / \sum_{\pi} 1$$

- k'th cumulant: k groups of $n \rightarrow 0$ replicas with the same h_a
- integral representation of the magnetization cumulants

equations:

$$\overline{m_{h_1}..m_{h_p}}^{J,c} = -p(-T)^p \int d^p y \delta(\sum_i y_i) \partial_{\tilde{h}_1}..\partial_{\tilde{h}_p} \phi(0,y)$$
Generalized Parisi
equations:

$$\phi(x = 1; \{y_i\}) = \log\left[\sum_{i=1}^p \exp(y_i)\right],$$

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^p \frac{dq_{ij}}{dx} \left(\frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial y_i \partial y_j} + x \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y_i} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y_j}\right),$$

$$q_{ij}(x) = \beta^2 Q(x) \delta \tilde{h}^i \delta \tilde{h}^j.$$

Calculation

Sum over replica permutations π in S(n) [a real challenge!]

$$\exp[W[h] - W[0]] = \sum_{\pi} \exp\left[\frac{\beta^2}{2} \sum_{ab} Q_{ab} \tilde{h}_{\pi(a)} \tilde{h}_{\pi(b)} + \frac{\beta^2}{2} \sum_{a} \tilde{h}_a^2 (1 - Q_{aa})\right] / \sum_{\pi} 1$$

- k'th cumulant: k groups of $n \rightarrow 0$ replicas with the same h_a
- integral representation of the magnetization cumulants
- limit $T \rightarrow 0$: expand in nonlinear diffusion term

Generalized Parisi equations:

$$\phi(x = 1; \{y_i\}) = \log\left[\sum_{i=1}^{p} \exp(y_i)\right],$$
$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{p} \frac{dq_{ij}}{dx} \left(\frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial y_i \partial y_j} + x \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y_i} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y_j}\right)$$
$$q_{ij}(x) = \beta^2 Q(x) \delta \tilde{h}^i \delta \tilde{h}^j.$$

Calculation

Sum over replica permutations π in S(n) [a real challenge!]

$$\exp[W[h] - W[0]] = \sum_{\pi} \exp\left[\frac{\beta^2}{2} \sum_{ab} Q_{ab} \tilde{h}_{\pi(a)} \tilde{h}_{\pi(b)} + \frac{\beta^2}{2} \sum_{a} \tilde{h}_a^2 (1 - Q_{aa})\right] / \sum_{\pi} 1$$

- k'th cumulant: k groups of $n \rightarrow 0$ replicas with the same h_a
- integral representation of the magnetization cumulants
- limit $T \rightarrow 0$: expand in nonlinear diffusion term
- extract non-analytic contribution from shocks

Final result:

- picture of mesoscopic avalanches $\sim N^{1/2}$ fully confirmed
- obtain critical probability distribution of avalanche sizes

$$P\left(\delta m \equiv \frac{\Delta M}{\sqrt{N}}\right) d(\delta m) \frac{dh}{\sqrt{N}} = \sqrt{\frac{c^*}{\pi}} \frac{e^{-\delta m^2}}{\delta m} d(\delta m) \frac{dh}{\sqrt{N}}$$
Avalanche exponent
 $\tau = 1$

Critical traces in the avalanche distribution

Footprint of criticality in the final result:

$$P(\delta m \equiv \frac{\Delta M}{\sqrt{N}}) d(\delta m) \frac{dh}{\sqrt{N}} = \sqrt{\frac{c^*}{\pi}} \frac{e^{-\delta m^2}}{\delta m} d(\delta m) \frac{dh}{\sqrt{N}}$$

 $c^* = 0.410802$: obtained from RG-like fixed point in the SK solution at low T!

(Pankov 2006)



Parisi's solution $Q_{ab} \rightarrow q(x)$: $q(T \ll x \ll x^* = 0.55) = 1 - \frac{a}{\beta^2} - \frac{c^*}{(\beta x)^2}$

(Crisanti, Rizzo 2001; Pankov 2006)

Comparison with numerics

Analytical result (shocks in equilibrium)

$$P(\delta m \equiv \frac{\Delta M}{\sqrt{N}}) \, d(\delta m) \frac{dh}{\sqrt{N}} = \sqrt{\frac{c^*}{\pi}} \frac{e^{-\delta m^2}}{\delta m} \, d(\delta m) \frac{dh}{\sqrt{N}}$$

Avalanches in the hysteresis loop (slowly driven, out-of-equilibrium)



Many qualitative features agree between analytics (equilibrium) and numerics (out-of equilibrium)

Pazmandi, Zarand, Zimanyi (1999)

Remarks

Analytical result (shocks in equilibrium)

$$P(\delta m \equiv \frac{\Delta M}{\sqrt{N}}) d(\delta m) \frac{dh}{\sqrt{N}} = \sqrt{\frac{c^*}{\pi}} \frac{e^{-\delta m^2}}{\delta m} d(\delta m) \frac{dh}{\sqrt{N}}$$

Important remarks

- The power law arises because of the criticality of the glass
- It receives contributions from jumps at all scales of the ultrametric organization of states
- Nearly no dependence on the external field, except in the cutoff scale:
 → The SK spin glass is critical even in finite field.

Remarks



Conclusion

Spin glass criticality (in the SK model) is prominently reflected in scale free response to a slow magnetic field change.

There is a deep connection between various manifestations of this criticality:

Soft gap – avalanches – spin-spin correlations – abundant collective low energy excitations

Avalanches in Barkhausen noise: An interesting experimental diagnostic for spin glass criticality?

Outlook

• Finite d spin glasses:

- -Is criticality revealed in avalanches, exp & numerics?
- Beyond mean field: Is there an FRG for spin glasses?

• Coulomb glasses: (Localized electrons with Coulomb interactions and disorder)

Close analogies with SK model:

- Critical soft gap (Efros-Shklovskii)
- Infinite gate-induced avalanches (~ L) at T = 0
- Mean field: full RSB, critical correlations predicted

• Avalanches in other complex systems (computer science, optimization, economy, etc)